

RINGEL-HALL ALGEBRAS BEYOND THEIR QUANTUM GROUPS I: RESTRICTION FUNCTOR AND GREEN'S FORMULA

JIE XIAO, FAN XU AND MINGHUI ZHAO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we generalize the categorifical constructions of a quantum group and its canonical basis introduced by Lusztig ([18, 19]) to the generic form of the whole Ringel-Hall algebra. We clarify the explicit relation between the Green formula in [8] and the restriction functor in [19]. By a geometric way to prove the Green formula, we show that the Hopf structure of a Ringel-Hall algebra can be categorified under Lusztig's framework.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. A revisit of Ringel-Hall algebras as Hopf algebras	3
3. Lusztig's construction of Hall algebras via functions	6
4. The categorification of Ringel-Hall algebras	13
5. Return to quantum groups	21
6. The trace map and F -invariant representations	24
7. The skew Hopf pairing	27
References	29

1. INTRODUCTION

Based on the classical works of Hall ([9]) and Steineiz ([32]), the Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ of a (small) abelian category $\mathcal{A}$ was introduced by Ringel in [26], as a model to realize the quantum group. When $\mathcal{A}$ is the category $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q} Q$ of finite dimensional representations for a simply-laced Dynkin quiver Q over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, the Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to the positive/negative part of the corresponding quantum group ([26]). For any acyclic quiver Q and $\mathcal{A} = \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q} Q$, the composition subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ generated by the elements corresponding to simple representations is isomorphic to the positive/negative part of the quantum group of type Q . This gives the algebraic realization of the positive/negative part of a (Kac-Moody type) quantum group. This realization was improved by Green ([8]), through solving a natural question whether there is a comultiplication on

Date: March 23, 2017.

Key words and phrases. Hall algebra, Green formula, induction functor, restriction functor, simple perverse sheaf.

Jie Xiao was supported by NSF of China (No. 11131001), Fan Xu was supported by NSF of China (No. 11471177) and Minghui Zhao was supported by NSF of China (No. 11526037).

$\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ compatible with the corresponding multiplication so that the above isomorphism is an isomorphism between bialgebras. Now it is well-known that Green's comultiplication depends on a remarkable homological formula in [8] (called the Green formula in the following).

In the earlier seminal papers [18] and [19], Lusztig gave the geometric realization of the positive/negative part of a quantum group and then constructed the canonical basis for it. Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, t)$ be a quiver and

$$\mathbb{E}_\alpha := \bigoplus_{h \in Q_1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbb{K}^{\alpha_{s(h)}}, \mathbb{K}^{\alpha_{t(h)}})$$

be the variety with the natural action of the algebraic group

$$G_\alpha := \prod_{i \in Q_0} GL(\alpha_i, \mathbb{K})$$

for a given dimension vector $\alpha = \sum_{i \in Q_0} \alpha_i i \in \mathbb{N}Q_0$. For any $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s)$, $i_l \in Q_0$ and $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s)$, $a_l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{l=1}^s a_l i_l = \alpha$, Lusztig ([19]) defined the flag variety $F_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}$ and the subvariety

$$\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}} \subseteq \mathbb{E}_\alpha \times F_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}.$$

Fixing any type $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a})$, consider the canonical proper morphism $\pi_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}} : \tilde{F}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_\alpha$. By the decomposition theorem of Beilinson, Bernstein and Deligne ([1]), the complex $\pi_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}^* \mathbf{1}$ is semisimple, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the constant perverse sheaf on $\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}$. Let $\mathcal{Q}_\alpha$ be the category of complexes isomorphic to sums of shifts of simple perverse sheaves appearing in $\pi_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}}^* \mathbf{1}$, K_α the Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{Q}_\alpha$ and

$$K(\mathcal{Q}) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}Q_0} K_\alpha.$$

Lusztig ([19]) already endowed $K(\mathcal{Q})$ with the multiplication and comultiplication structures by introducing his induction and restriction functors. He proved that the comultiplication is compatible with the multiplication in $K(\mathcal{Q})$ and $K(\mathcal{Q})$ is isomorphic to the positive/negative part of the corresponding quantum group as bialgebras up to a twist.

By this isomorphism, the isomorphism classes of simple perverse sheaves in $\mathcal{Q}_\alpha$ provide a basis of the positive/negative part of the corresponding quantum group, which is called the canonical basis. The canonical basis of a quantum group is crucially important in Lie theory. This basis has many remarkable properties such as integrality and positivity of structure constants, compatibility with all highest weight integrable representations, etc. Lusztig's approach essentially motivates the categorification of quantum groups (for example, see [14], [29] and [33]) or quantum cluster algebras (see [10], [23], [16], etc.), i.e., a quantum group/quantum cluster algebra can be viewed as the Grothendieck ring of a monoidal category and some simple objects provide a basis (see also [34]).

For a long time, we have been asked what the explicit relation exists between Green's comultiplication and Lusztig's restriction functor. As one of the main results in the present paper, the following Theorem 4.8 and the definition of the comultiplication operator Δ provide us this strong and clear link. Thanks to an embedding property as in [15], we can lift the Green formula from finite fields to the level of sheaves. It is finally suitable to apply Lusztig's restriction functor to the larger categories of ι -mixed Weil complexes of integer weights, whose Grothendieck

groups realize the weight spaces of a generic Ringel-Hall algebra. By using the direct sum of these Grothendieck groups, we also give the categorification of Ringel-Hall algebras via Lusztig's geometric method.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the theory of Ringel-Hall algebras, focusing on the Hopf structure of a Ringel-Hall algebra. In Section 3, we recall Lusztig's construction of a Hall algebra via functions invariant under the Frobenius map. In [21], Lusztig defined the algebra $\mathcal{CF}^F(Q)$ with multiplication and comultiplication by applying his restriction functor and induction functor to constructible functions. However, the proof of the compatibility of Lusztig's comultiplication and multiplication for the whole Ringel-Hall algebra essentially depends on the proof of the Green formula. In the end of this section, we show that the twist of $\mathcal{CF}^F(Q)$ is isomorphic to the twisted Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathcal{H}^{tw}(\mathcal{A})$. In Section 4, we extend the geometric realization of a quantum group to the whole Ringel-Hall algebra under Lusztig's framework. We obtain the generic Ringel-Hall algebra as the direct sum of Grothendieck groups of the derived categories of a class of Weil complexes. The simple perverse sheaves provide the canonical basis. We show that the compatibility of the induction and restriction functor holds for these perverse sheaves. Therefore the generic Ringel-Hall algebra has a structure of bialgebra. In Section 5, we come back to the case of quantum groups considered by Lusztig and Bozec. We point out that the algebras defined by them are subalgebras of the generic Ringel-Hall algebra and the canonical bases considered by them are subsets of our canonical basis. We have defined the following map in Section 4,

$$\chi^{F^s} : \mathbf{K}_w \rightarrow \mathcal{CF}^{F^s}(Q).$$

In Section 6, we shall determinate the image of χ^{F^s} depending on Kac's and Hua's results. In Section 7, we construct the Drinfeld double of the generic Ringel-Hall algebra.

Acknowledgments. The authors are very grateful to Hiraku Nakajima for telling us that Lusztig's restriction is a hyperbolic localization and Reference [4]. The second named author thanks Sheng-Hao Sun for explaining the contents in Reference [15] and many helpful comments.

2. A REVISIT OF RINGEL-HALL ALGEBRAS AS HOPF ALGEBRAS

We recall the definition of the Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ for the hereditary abelian category $\mathcal{A} = \text{mod } kQ = \text{Rep}_k Q$, where $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ is a finite field with $q = p^e$ elements for some prime number p and Q is a finite quiver.

For $M \in \mathcal{A}$, we denote by $\underline{\dim} M$ the dimension vector in $\mathbb{N}Q_0$ and define the Euler-Ringel form on $\mathbb{N}Q_0$ as follows:

$$\langle \underline{\dim} M, \underline{\dim} N \rangle = \dim_k \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N) - \dim_k \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(M, N).$$

For M, N and $L \in \text{mod } kQ$, we denote by $\mathcal{F}_{MN}^L$ the set $\{X \subset L \mid X \in \text{mod } kQ, X \cong N, L/X \cong M\}$ and $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(M, N)_L$ the subset of $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(M, N)$ with the middle term isomorphic to L . For $X \in \mathcal{A}$, denote by $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{A}} X$ the set of automorphism on X in $\mathcal{A}$. Write $F_{MN}^L = |\mathcal{F}_{MN}^L|$, $h_L^{MN} = \frac{|\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(M, N)_L|}{|\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)|}$ and $a_X = |\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{A}} X|$.

The ordinary Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ is a $\mathbb{C}$ -space with isomorphism classes $[X]$ of all kQ -modules X as a basis and the multiplication is defined by

$$[M] * [N] = \sum_{[L]} F_{MN}^L [L]$$

for M, N and $L \in \text{mod } kQ$. We can endow $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ with a comultiplication $\delta : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{H}$ by setting

$$\delta([L]) = \sum_{[M], [N]} h_L^{MN} [M] \otimes [N]$$

for L, M and $N \in \text{mod } kQ$. The comultiplication is compatible with the multiplication via Green's theorem.

Theorem 2.1. [8] *The map δ is an algebra homomorphism with respect to the twisted multiplication on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ as follows:*

$$([M_1] \otimes [N_1]) \circ ([M_2] \otimes [N_2]) = q^{-\langle \dim M_1, \dim N_2 \rangle} ([M_1] * [M_2]) \otimes ([N_1] * [N_2])$$

for any M_1, M_2, N_1 and $N_2 \in \text{mod } kQ$.

The theorem is equivalent to the following Green formula:

$$\begin{aligned} & a_{M_1} a_{M_2} a_{N_1} a_{N_2} \sum_{[L]} F_{M_1 N_1}^L F_{M_2 N_2}^L a_L^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{[X], [Y_1], [Y_2], [Z]} \frac{|\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(X, Z)|}{|\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Z)|} F_{XY_1}^{M_1} F_{XY_2}^{M_2} F_{Y_2 Z}^{N_1} F_{Y_1 Z}^{N_2} a_X a_{Y_1} a_{Y_2} a_Z. \end{aligned}$$

Define a symmetric bilinear form on $\mathcal{H}$ by setting

$$([M], [N]) = \frac{\delta_{M,N}}{a_M},$$

where $\delta_{M,N}$ is equal to 1 if $M \cong N$ and 0 otherwise. This form is called Green's Hopf pairing. It is clear that Green's Hopf pairing is a non degenerate bilinear form over $\mathcal{H}$. The following proposition shows that the comultiplication is dual to the multiplication, i.e., the comultiplication can be viewed as the multiplication over $\mathcal{H}^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{C})$.

Proposition 2.2. The comultiplication is left adjoint to the multiplication with respect to Green's Hopf pairing, i.e., for $a, b, c \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$(a, bc) = (\delta(a), b \otimes c),$$

where the bilinear form on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ is given by $(a \otimes b, c \otimes d) = (a, c)(b, d)$ for any $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{H}$.

The proposition is equivalent to that the Riedtmann-Peng formula

$$F_{MN}^L a_M a_N = h_L^{MN} a_L$$

holds for any M, N and $L \in \text{mod } kQ$.

It is easy to generalize the multiplication and comultiplication to the r -fold versions for $r \geq 2$. For $M_1, \dots, M_r, M \in \mathcal{A}$, set $\mathcal{F}_{M_1, \dots, M_r}^M$ to be the set

$$\{0 = X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq X_r = M \mid X_i \in \mathcal{A}, X_{i+1}/X_i \cong M_{r-i}, i = 0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$$

and $F_{M_1 \dots M_r}^M = |\mathcal{F}_{M_1, \dots, M_r}^M|$. Then

$$[M_1] * [M_2] * \dots * [M_r] = \sum_{[M]} F_{M_1 \dots M_r}^M [M].$$

The r -fold comultiplication δ^r can be defined inductively. For $r = 1$, $\delta^1 = \delta$ and $\delta^{r+1} = (1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 \otimes \delta) \circ \delta^r$ for $r \geq 1$. Set

$$\delta^{r-1}([M]) = \sum_{[M_1], \dots, [M_r]} h_M^{M_1 \dots M_r} [M_1] \otimes \dots \otimes [M_r]$$

for $r \geq 2$. It is clear that the Riedtmann-Peng formula can be reformulated as

$$h_M^{M_1 M_2 \dots M_r} = F_{M_1 \dots M_r}^M a_{M_1} \dots a_{M_r} a_M^{-1}$$

for $r \geq 2$.

Let $\sigma : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a map such that

$$\sigma([M]) = \delta_{M,0} + \sum_{r \geq 1} (-1)^r \cdot \sum_{[N], [M_1], \dots, [M_r] \neq 0} h_M^{M_1 \dots M_r} F_{M_1 \dots M_r}^N [N]$$

for $M \in \mathcal{A}$. We call σ the antipode of $\mathcal{H}$.

One can also define the twisted versions of the multiplication and comultiplication over $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ by setting

$$[M] \cdot [N] = v_q^{\langle \underline{\dim} M, \underline{\dim} N \rangle} [M] * [N]$$

and

$$\delta^t([L]) = \sum_{[M], [N]} v_q^{\langle \underline{\dim} M, \underline{\dim} N \rangle} h_L^{MN} [M] \otimes [N],$$

where $v_q = \sqrt{q}$.

Similarly, the r -fold twisted version of the multiplication is denoted by $[M_1] \cdot [M_2] \dots [M_r]$ and the r -fold twisted version of the comultiplication is denoted by $\delta^{t,r}$. The twisted version of the antipode over $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ is defined as

$$\sigma^t([M]) = \delta_{M,0} + \sum_{r \geq 1} (-1)^r \cdot \sum_{[N], [M_1], \dots, [M_r] \neq 0} v_q^{2 \sum_{i \leq j} \langle \underline{\dim} M_i, \underline{\dim} M_j \rangle} h_M^{M_1 \dots M_r} F_{M_1 \dots M_r}^N [N].$$

We denote by $\mathcal{H}^{tw}(\mathcal{A})$ the twisted version of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$.

On the relations between the twisted version of antipode with the twisted versions of multiplication and comultiplication, we have

$$\sigma^t(x \cdot y) = \sigma^t(y) \cdot \sigma^t(x) \text{ for any } x, y \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}),$$

$$\delta^t(\sigma^t(x)) = (\sigma^t \otimes \sigma^t) \delta^{t,op}(x) \text{ for any } x \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}),$$

and

$$\underline{m}^t(\sigma^t \otimes 1) \delta^t([M]) = \underline{m}^t(1 \otimes \sigma^t) \delta^t([M]) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } [M] \neq 0, \\ [0] & \text{if } [M] = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\delta^{t,op}$ is the composition of δ^t with the linear map $x \otimes y \mapsto y \otimes x$ and $\underline{m}^t(x, y) = x \cdot y$.

Let $\mathcal{C}^{tw}(\mathcal{A})$ be the subalgebra of the twisted Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathcal{H}^{tw}(\mathcal{A})$ generated by isomorphism classes of simple kQ -modules. Denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}[v_q, v_q^{-1}]}^{tw}(\mathcal{A})$ the integral form of $\mathcal{C}^{tw}(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem 2.3. [26, 8, 35] *Let $\mathfrak{g}_Q$ be the Kac-Moody algebra associated to the quiver Q and $U_{v_q}^+(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$ be the positive part of the quantum group $U_v(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$ specialized at $v = v_q$. Then there is an isomorphism of algebras*

$$\Psi : U_{v_q}^+(\mathfrak{g}_Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}[v_q, v_q^{-1}]}^{tw}(\mathcal{A}) \bigotimes_{\mathbb{Z}[v_q, v_q^{-1}]} \mathbb{Q}(v_q)$$

sending E_i to $[S_i]$ for $i \in Q_0$.

3. LUSZTIG'S CONSTRUCTION OF HALL ALGEBRAS VIA FUNCTIONS

In this section, we recall Lusztig's construction of Hall algebras via functions in [21] and compare it with Ringel-Hall algebras. Let $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ as above and $\mathbb{K} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, t)$ be a quiver. Given a dimension vector $\alpha = \sum_{i \in Q_0} \alpha_i i \in \mathbb{N}Q_0$, define the variety

$$\mathbb{E}_\alpha := \mathbb{E}_\alpha(Q) = \bigoplus_{h \in Q_1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbb{K}^{\alpha_{s(h)}}, \mathbb{K}^{\alpha_{t(h)}}).$$

Any element $x = (x_h)_{h \in Q_1}$ in $\mathbb{E}_\alpha(Q)$ defines a representation $M(x) = (\mathbb{K}^\alpha, x)$ of Q with $\mathbb{K}^\alpha = \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} \mathbb{K}^{\alpha_i}$. The algebraic group

$$G_\alpha := G_\alpha(Q) = \prod_{i \in Q_0} GL(\alpha_i, \mathbb{K})$$

acts on $\mathbb{E}_\alpha$ by $(x_h)_{h \in Q_1}^g = (g_{t(h)} x_h g_{s(h)}^{-1})_{h \in Q_1}$ for $g = (g_i)_{i \in Q_0} \in G_\alpha$ and $(x_h)_{h \in Q_1} \in \mathbb{E}_\alpha$. The isomorphism class of a $\mathbb{K}Q$ -module X is just the orbit of X . The quotient stack $[\mathbb{E}_\alpha / G_\alpha]$ parametrizes the isomorphism classes of $\mathbb{K}Q$ -modules of dimension vector α .

Let F be the Frobenius automorphism of $\mathbb{K}$, i.e., $F(x) = x^q$. The F -fixed subfield is just $\mathbb{F}_q$. This induces an isomorphism $\mathbb{E}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_\alpha$ sending $((x_h)_{ij})_{d_{s(h)} \times d_{t(h)}}_{h \in Q_1}$ to $((x_h)_{ij}^q)_{d_{s(h)} \times d_{t(h)}}_{h \in Q_1}$. We will denote all induced map by F if it does not cause any confusion.

For any $\mathbb{K}Q$ -module $M(x) = (\mathbb{K}^\alpha, x)$, set $M(x)^{[q]} = F(M(x))$. The representation $M(x) \in \mathbb{E}_\alpha$ is F -fixed if $M(x) \cong M(x)^{[q]}$. The last condition is equivalent to say that $M(x)$ is defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$, i.e., there exists a kQ -module $M_0(x)$ such that $M(x) \cong M_0(x) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{K}$ ([11]). We denoted by $\mathbb{E}_\alpha^F$ and G_α^F the F -fixed subset of $\mathbb{E}_\alpha$ and G_α respectively. For a kQ -module $M \in \mathbb{E}_\alpha^F$, let $\mathcal{O}_M$ denote the orbit of M in $\mathbb{E}_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{O}_M^F$ the F -fixed subset of $\mathcal{O}_M$.

Let $l \neq p$ be a prime number and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ be the algebraic closure of the field of l -adic numbers. Fix a square root $v_q = \sqrt{q} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$. Define $\mathcal{CF}_\alpha^F$ to be the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ -space generated by G_α^F -invariant functions: $\mathbb{E}_\alpha^F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$. We will endow the vector space $\mathcal{CF}^F(Q) = \bigoplus_\alpha \mathcal{CF}_\alpha^F$ with a multiplication and a comultiplication.

As tools, we should recall two functors: the pushforward functor and the inverse image functor in [21]. Given two finite sets X, Y and a map $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$. Let $\mathcal{CF}(X)$ be the vector space of all functions $X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ over X . Define the pushforward of ϕ to be

$$\phi_! : \mathcal{CF}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{CF}(Y), \quad \phi_!(f)(y) = \sum_{x \in \phi^{-1}(y)} f(x)$$

and the inverse image of ϕ to be

$$\phi^* : \mathcal{CF}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{CF}(X), \quad \phi^*(g)(x) = g(\phi(x)).$$

First, We shall define the multiplication over $\mathcal{CF}^F(Q)$. Let $\mathbb{E}''$ be the variety of all pairs (x, W) where $x \in \mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}$ and $(W, x|_W)$ is a $\mathbb{K}Q$ -submodule of $(\mathbb{K}^{\alpha+\beta}, x)$ with dimension vector β . Let $\mathbb{E}'$ be the variety of all quadruples (x, W, ρ_1, ρ_2) where $(x, W) \in \mathbb{E}''$ and $\rho_1 : \mathbb{K}^{\alpha+\beta}/W \cong \mathbb{K}^\alpha$, $\rho_2 : W \cong \mathbb{K}^\beta$ are linear isomorphisms. Consider the following diagram

$$\mathbb{E}_\alpha \times \mathbb{E}_\beta \xleftarrow{p_1} \mathbb{E}' \xrightarrow{p_2} \mathbb{E}'' \xrightarrow{p_3} \mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta},$$

where p_2, p_3 are natural projections and $p_1(x, W, \rho_1, \rho_2) = (x', x'')$ such that

$$x'_h(\rho_1)_{s(h)} = (\rho_1)_{t(h)}x_h \text{ and } x''_h(\rho_2)_{s(h)} = (\rho_2)_{t(h)}x_h$$

for any $h \in Q_1$.

The groups $G_\alpha \times G_\beta$ and $G_{\alpha+\beta}$ naturally act on $\mathbb{E}'$. The map p_1 is $G_{\alpha+\beta} \times G_\alpha \times G_\beta$ -equivariant under the trivial action of $G_{\alpha+\beta}$ on $\mathbb{E}_\alpha \times \mathbb{E}_\beta$. The map p_2 is a principal $G_\alpha \times G_\beta$ -bundle.

Applying the Frobenius map F , we can define the above diagram over $\mathbb{F}_q$ as follows:

$$\mathbb{E}_\alpha^F \times \mathbb{E}_\beta^F \xleftarrow{p_1} \mathbb{E}'^F \xrightarrow{p_2} \mathbb{E}''^F \xrightarrow{p_3} \mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}^F.$$

There is a linear map (called the induction map)

$$\underline{m} : \mathcal{CF}_{G_\alpha \times G_\beta}(\mathbb{E}_\alpha^F \times \mathbb{E}_\beta^F) \rightarrow \mathcal{CF}_{G_{\alpha+\beta}}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}^F) = \mathcal{CF}_{\alpha+\beta}^F$$

sending g to $|G_\alpha^F \times G_\beta^F|^{-1}(p_3)!(p_2)!p_1^*(g)$. Iteratively, one can define the r -fold version $\underline{m}^r$ of $\underline{m}$ for $r \geq 1$ by setting $\underline{m}^1 = id$, $\underline{m}^2 = \underline{m}$ and $\underline{m}^{r+1} = \underline{m} \circ (1 \otimes \underline{m}^r)$ for $r \geq 2$.

Now we can define the multiplication over $\mathcal{CF}^F(Q)$. For $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{CF}_\alpha^F$, $f_\beta \in \mathcal{CF}_\beta^F$ and $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{E}_\alpha \times \mathbb{E}_\beta$, set $g(x_1, x_2) = f_\alpha(x_1)f_\beta(x_2)$. Then $g \in \mathcal{CF}_{G_\alpha \times G_\beta}(\mathbb{E}_\alpha^F \times \mathbb{E}_\beta^F)$ and define the multiplication by

$$f_\alpha * f_\beta = \underline{m}(g).$$

The following lemma is well-known from Lusztig (see [17]).

Lemma 3.1. *Given three kQ -modules M, N and L , let $1_{\mathcal{O}_M}, 1_{\mathcal{O}_N}$ and $1_{\mathcal{O}_L}$ be the characteristic functions over orbits, respectively. Then*

$$1_{\mathcal{O}_M^F} * 1_{\mathcal{O}_N^F}(L) = F_{MN}^L.$$

We now turn to define the comultiplication over $\mathcal{CF}^F(Q)$. Fix a subspace W of $\mathbb{K}^{\alpha+\beta}$ with $\underline{\dim} W = \beta$ and linear isomorphisms $\rho_1 : \mathbb{K}^{\alpha+\beta}/W \cong \mathbb{K}^\alpha$, $\rho_2 : W \cong \mathbb{K}^\beta$. Let $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ be the closed subset of $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}$ consisting of all $x \in \mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}$ such that $(W, x|_W)$ is a $\mathbb{K}Q$ -submodule of $(\mathbb{K}^{\alpha+\beta}, x)$ with dimension vector β . Consider the diagram

$$\mathbb{E}_\alpha \times \mathbb{E}_\beta \xleftarrow{\kappa} F_{\alpha,\beta} \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta},$$

where the map i is the inclusion and $\kappa(x) = p_1(x, W, \rho_1, \rho_2)$. For $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{E}_\alpha \times \mathbb{E}_\beta$, the fibre $\kappa^{-1}(x_1, x_2) \cong \bigoplus_{h \in Q_1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbb{K}^{\alpha_{s(h)}}, \mathbb{K}^{\beta_{t(h)}})$ and then κ is a vector bundle of dimension $\sum_{h \in Q_1} \alpha_{s(h)}\beta_{t(h)}$.

Applying the Frobenius map F , we can define the above diagram over $\mathbb{F}_q$ as follows:

$$\mathbb{E}_\alpha^F \times \mathbb{E}_\beta^F \xleftarrow{\kappa} F_{\alpha,\beta}^F \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}^F.$$

There is also a linear map (called the restriction map)

$$\delta_{\alpha,\beta} : \mathcal{CF}_{G_{\alpha+\beta}}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}^F) \rightarrow \mathcal{CF}_{G_\alpha \times G_\beta}(\mathbb{E}_\alpha^F \times \mathbb{E}_\beta^F)$$

sending $f \in \mathcal{CF}_{G_{\alpha+\beta}}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}^F)$ to $\kappa_! i^*(f)$. It defines the comultiplication δ over $\mathcal{CF}^F(Q)$, i.e., for $f \in \mathcal{CF}_\gamma^F$ and $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$,

$$\delta(f) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta; \alpha+\beta=\gamma} \delta_{\alpha, \beta}(f).$$

Iteratively, we can define δ^r for $r \geq 1$ by setting $\delta^1 = \delta$ and $\delta^{r+1} = (1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \delta) \circ \delta^r$ for $r \geq 1$.

For M, N and L in $\mathcal{A} = \text{Rep}_k Q$, we set

$$D_L^{MN} = \delta(1_{\mathcal{O}_L^F})(M, N) = \kappa_! i^*(1_{\mathcal{O}_L^F})(M, N).$$

In order to compare this comultiplication δ with the comultiplication of Ringel-Hall algebras, we define the twist of $\delta_{\alpha, \beta}$ by $\delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{tw} = q^{-\sum_{i \in Q_0} \alpha_i \beta_i} \delta_{\alpha, \beta}$ and δ^{tw} in the same way.

Lemma 3.2. *With the notations in Lemma 3.1 and $\dim M = \alpha, \dim N = \beta$, we have*

$$\delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{tw}(1_{\mathcal{O}_L^F})(M, N) = h_L^{MN}.$$

Proof. Suppose $M = (\mathbb{K}^\alpha, x_1)$ and $N = (\mathbb{K}^\beta, x_2)$. The linear isomorphisms ρ_1, ρ_2 induce the module structures of $\mathbb{K}^{\alpha+\beta}/W$ and W , denoted by $(\mathbb{K}^{\alpha+\beta}/W, y_{\mathbb{K}^{\alpha+\beta}/W})$ and (W, y_W) respectively. Consider the set

$$S = \{x \in \mathbb{E}^{\alpha+\beta} \mid (W, x|_W) = (W, y_W), \\ (\mathbb{K}^{\alpha+\beta}/W, x|_{\mathbb{K}^{\alpha+\beta}/W}) = (\mathbb{K}^{\alpha+\beta}/W, y_{\mathbb{K}^{\alpha+\beta}/W}), (\mathbb{K}^{\alpha+\beta}, x) \cong L\}.$$

Fix a decomposition of the vector space $\mathbb{K}^{\alpha+\beta} = W \oplus \mathbb{K}^{\alpha+\beta}/W$. Then

$$S = \left\{ x = \begin{pmatrix} (y_W)_h & d(h) \\ 0 & (y_{\mathbb{K}^{\alpha+\beta}/W})_h \end{pmatrix}_{h \in Q_1} \mid d(h) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbb{K}^{\alpha_{s(h)}}, \mathbb{K}^{\beta_{t(h)}}), (\mathbb{K}^{\alpha+\beta}, x) \cong L \right\}.$$

Set $D(\alpha, \beta) = \bigoplus_{h \in Q_1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbb{K}^{\alpha_{s(h)}}, \mathbb{K}^{\beta_{t(h)}})$. Applying the Frobenius map F , we have the following long exact sequence (see [5])

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{kQ}(M, N) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} \text{Hom}_k(k^{\alpha_i}, k^{\beta_i}) \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow D^F(\alpha, \beta) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Ext}_{kQ}^1(M, N) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We denote by $D^F(\alpha, \beta)_L$ the inverse image of $\text{Ext}_{kQ}^1(M, N)_L$ under the map π . Then $D_L^{MN} = |D^F(\alpha, \beta)_L| = q^{\sum_{i \in Q_0} \alpha_i \beta_i} h_L^{MN}$. By definition, $\delta_{\alpha, \beta}(1_{\mathcal{O}_L^F})(M, N) = |D^F(\alpha, \beta)_L| = D_L^{MN}$. This completes the proof. $\square$

In order to compare these with Lusztig's construction, we consider the subalgebra of $\mathcal{CF}^F(Q)$ generated by $1_{S_i} = 1_{\mathcal{O}_{S_i}^F}$ for all $i \in Q_0$, denoted by $\mathcal{F}^F(Q)$. The subalgebra $\mathcal{F}^F(Q)$ has the following decomposition of weight spaces:

$$\mathcal{F}^F(Q) = \bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^F.$$

Lemma 3.3. *Give a sequence $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m)$ in Q_0 such that $i_j \neq i_k$ for $j \neq k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and let $f = 1_{S_{i_1}} * 1_{S_{i_2}} * \cdots * 1_{S_{i_m}} \in \mathcal{CF}^F(Q)$. Then $\delta(f) = \delta^{tw}(f)$.*

The Riedtmann-Peng formula can be reformulated to the following form, which generalizes [21, Lemma 1.13] from $\mathcal{F}^F(Q)$ to $\mathcal{CF}^F(Q)$.

Proposition 3.4. Let $f_i \in \mathcal{CF}_{\alpha_i}^F$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $g \in \mathcal{CF}_{\alpha}^F$ for $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$. Then

$$|G_{\alpha}^F| \sum_{x,y} f_1(x) f_2(y) \delta_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{tw}(g)(x, y) = |G_{\alpha_1}^F| |G_{\alpha_2}^F| \sum_z f_1 * f_2(z) g(z)$$

where $x \in \mathbb{E}_{\alpha_1}^F$, $y \in \mathbb{E}_{\alpha_2}^F$ and $z \in \mathbb{E}_{\alpha}^F$.

Proof. Given a dimension vector α , take $f \in \mathcal{CF}_{G_{\alpha}}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha}^F)$, then $f = \sum_{i=1}^s a_i 1_{\mathcal{O}_{M_i}^F}$ for some $a_i \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and kQ -modules $M_1, \dots, M_s$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $f_1 = 1_{\mathcal{O}_M^F}$, $f_2 = 1_{\mathcal{O}_N^F}$ and $g = 1_{\mathcal{O}_L^F}$ for some kQ -modules M, N and L . Following Lemma 3.1 and 3.2, the left side of the equation is equal to

$$|G_{\alpha}^F| \cdot |\mathcal{O}_M^F| \cdot |\mathcal{O}_N^F| \cdot h_{MN}^L$$

and the right side of the equation is equal to

$$|G_{\alpha_1}^F| \cdot |G_{\alpha_2}^F| \cdot |\mathcal{O}_L^F| \cdot F_{MN}^L.$$

Using $a_L = |G_{\alpha}^F|/|\mathcal{O}_L^F|$ and the Riedtmann-Peng formula, we prove the proposition. $\square$

By definition, $\dim_k G_{\alpha}^F = \sum_{i \in Q_0} \alpha_i^2$ and then we obtain the following lemma ([31, Section 1.2]).

Lemma 3.5. *With the above notations, we have*

$$\frac{1}{2}(\dim_k G_{\alpha}^F - \dim_k G_{\alpha_1}^F - \dim_k G_{\alpha_2}^F) = \sum_{i \in Q_0} (\alpha_1)_i (\alpha_2)_i.$$

Hence, the equation in Proposition 3.4 can also be written as

$$\frac{|G_{\alpha}^F|}{|\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}^F|} \cdot \sum_{x,y} f_1(x) f_2(y) \delta_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(g)(x, y) = \frac{|G_{\alpha_1}^F|}{|\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1}^F|} \frac{|G_{\alpha_2}^F|}{|\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_2}^F|} \sum_z f_1 * f_2(z) g(z)$$

by substituting δ for δ^{tw} , where $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}^F$, $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1}^F$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_2}^F$ are the Lie algebras of G_{α}^F , $G_{\alpha_1}^F$ and $G_{\alpha_2}^F$, respectively.

Then, we shall consider the relation between the induction map and the restriction map.

Fix dimension vectors $\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta'$ with $\alpha + \beta = \alpha' + \beta' = \alpha$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the set of quadruples $\lambda = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ of dimension vectors such that $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2, \alpha' = \alpha_1 + \beta_1$ and $\beta' = \alpha_2 + \beta_2$. Consider the following diagram

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbb{E}_{\alpha}^F \times \mathbb{E}_{\beta}^F & \xleftarrow{p_1} & \mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^F & \xrightarrow{p_2} & \mathbb{E}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime\prime F} & \xrightarrow{p_3} & \mathbb{E}_{\alpha}^F \\ \uparrow i' & & & & & & \uparrow i \\ \coprod_{\lambda \in \mathcal{N}} F_{\lambda}^F & & & & & & F_{\alpha', \beta'}^F \\ \downarrow \kappa' & & & & & & \downarrow \kappa \\ \coprod_{\lambda \in \mathcal{N}} E^F(\lambda) & \xleftarrow{p'_1} & \coprod_{\lambda \in \mathcal{N}} \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}^F & \xrightarrow{p'_2} & \coprod_{\lambda \in \mathcal{N}} \mathbb{E}^{\prime\prime F}(\lambda) & \xrightarrow{p'_3} & \mathbb{E}_{\alpha'}^F \times \mathbb{E}_{\beta'}^F \end{array}$$

where $\mathbb{E}^F(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}_{\alpha_1}^F \times \mathbb{E}_{\alpha_2}^F \times \mathbb{E}_{\beta_1}^F \times \mathbb{E}_{\beta_2}^F$, $\mathbb{E}'^F(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}'_{\alpha_1, \beta_1}{}^F \times \mathbb{E}'_{\alpha_2, \beta_2}{}^F$ and $\mathbb{E}''^F(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}''_{\alpha_1, \beta_1}{}^F \times \mathbb{E}''_{\alpha_2, \beta_2}{}^F$ for $\lambda = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$. This induces the maps between F -fixed subsets and then the maps between vector spaces of functions as follows:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{CF}_{\alpha}^F \times \mathcal{CF}_{\beta}^F & \xrightarrow{\underline{m}_{\alpha, \beta}} & \mathcal{CF}_{\alpha}^F \\ \downarrow \delta^{tw} & & \downarrow \delta_{\alpha', \beta'}^{tw} \\ \mathcal{CF}^F(\prod_{\lambda \in \mathcal{N}} \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}) & \xrightarrow{\underline{m}} & \mathcal{CF}_{\alpha'}^F \times \mathcal{CF}_{\beta'}^F. \end{array}$$

Consider the top and right of Diagram (3.1). Set

$$C'_{\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta'}{}^F = \{(x, W, \rho_1, \rho_2) \in \mathbb{E}'_{\alpha, \beta}{}^F \mid x \in F_{\alpha', \beta'}^F\}$$

and

$$C''_{\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta'}{}^F = \{(x, W) \in \mathbb{E}''_{\alpha, \beta}{}^F \mid x \in F_{\alpha', \beta'}^F\}.$$

The sets can be illustrated by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & W' & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ W & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{K}^{\alpha}, x) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{K}^{\alpha}, x)/W \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ & & (\mathbb{K}^{\alpha}, x)/W' & & \end{array}$$

where $(x, W') \in \mathbb{E}''_{\alpha', \beta'}{}^F$. Consider the following diagram

$$\mathbb{E}_{\alpha}^F \times \mathbb{E}_{\beta}^F \xleftarrow{p} C'_{\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta'}{}^F \xrightarrow{q} C''_{\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta'}{}^F \xrightarrow{r} F_{\alpha', \beta'}^F \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathbb{E}_{\alpha'}^F \times \mathbb{E}_{\beta'}^F.$$

Then by definition, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \delta_{\alpha', \beta'}^{tw} \underline{m}_{\alpha, \beta} = |G_{\alpha}^F \times G_{\beta}^F|^{-1} q^{-\sum_{i \in Q_0} \alpha'_i \beta'_i} (\kappa)_! (r)_! (q)_! p^*.$$

Consider the left and bottom of Diagram (3.1). Set

$$S_{\lambda}'^F = \{(x_{\alpha}, x_{\beta}, x_{\alpha'}, x_{\beta'}, W_1, W_2, \rho_{11}, \rho_{12}, \rho_{21}, \rho_{22}) \mid (x_{\alpha'}, W_1, \rho_{11}, \rho_{12}) \in \mathbb{E}'_{\alpha_2, \beta_2}{}^F,$$

$$(x_{\beta'}, W_2, \rho_{21}, \rho_{22}) \in \mathbb{E}'_{\alpha_1, \beta_1}{}^F, (x_{\beta}, W_2) \in \mathbb{E}''_{\beta_1, \beta_2}{}^F, (\mathbb{K}^{\beta}, x_{\beta})/W_2 \cong (W_1, x_{\alpha'}|_{W_1}),$$

$$\exists W_3, (x_{\alpha}, W_3) \cong (\mathbb{K}^{\beta'}, x_{\beta'})/W_2, (\mathbb{K}^{\alpha}, x_{\alpha})/W_3 \cong (\mathbb{K}^{\alpha'}, x_{\alpha'})/W_1\}$$

where $\lambda = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ and W_1, W_2 and W_3 are graded vector spaces of dimension vectors β_2, β_1 and α_1 , respectively. The set can be illustrated by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W_2 & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{K}^{\beta'}, x_{\beta'}) & \longrightarrow & W_3 \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ (\mathbb{K}^{\beta}, x_{\beta}) & & & & (\mathbb{K}^{\alpha}, x_{\alpha}) \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ W_1 & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{K}^{\alpha'}, x_{\alpha'}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{K}^{\alpha}, x_{\alpha})/W_3 \cong (\mathbb{K}^{\alpha'}, x_{\alpha'})/W_1. \end{array}$$

Set

$$S''^F_\lambda = \{(x_\alpha, x_\beta, x_{\alpha'}, x_{\beta'}, W_1, W_2) \mid \exists \rho_{11}, \rho_{12}, \rho_{21}, \rho_{22}, \\ (x_\alpha, x_\beta, x_{\alpha'}, x_{\beta'}, W_1, W_2, \rho_{11}, \rho_{12}, \rho_{21}, \rho_{22}) \in S'^F_\lambda\}.$$

Then there is a projection $S'^F_\lambda \rightarrow S''^F_\lambda$ which is a principal $G_{\alpha_1} \times G_{\alpha_2} \times G_{\beta_1} \times G_{\beta_2}$ -bundle. We also have the following diagram

$$\mathbb{E}_\alpha^F \times \mathbb{E}_\beta^F \xleftarrow{i'} \coprod_{\lambda \in \mathcal{N}} F_\lambda^F \xleftarrow{p'} \coprod_{\lambda \in \mathcal{N}} S'^F_\lambda \xrightarrow{q'} \coprod_{\lambda \in \mathcal{N}} S''^F_\lambda \xrightarrow{r'} \mathbb{E}_{\alpha'}^F \times \mathbb{E}_{\beta'}^F.$$

Then we have

(3.4)

$$\underline{m}\delta^{tw} = |G_{\alpha_1}^F \times G_{\alpha_2}^F \times G_{\beta_1}^F \times G_{\beta_2}^F|^{-1} q^{-\langle \alpha_2, \beta_1 \rangle - \sum_{i \in Q_0} [(\beta_1)_i (\beta_2)_i + (\alpha_1)_i (\alpha_2)_i]} (r')! (q')! (p')^* (i')^*.$$

By (3.3) and (3.4), Diagram (3.1) can be rewrote as:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{E}_\alpha^F \times \mathbb{E}_\beta^F & \xleftarrow{p} & C'^F \\ p' i' \uparrow & & \downarrow \kappa r q \\ \coprod_{\lambda \in \mathcal{N}} S'^F_\lambda & \xrightarrow{r' q'} & \mathbb{E}_{\alpha'}^F \times \mathbb{E}_{\beta'}^F. \end{array}$$

By Lemma 3.1 and 3.2, one can check the following two lemmas directly.

Lemma 3.6. For $M \in \mathbb{E}_\alpha^F, N \in \mathbb{E}_\beta^F, M' \in \mathbb{E}_{\alpha'}^F, N' \in \mathbb{E}_{\beta'}^F$, we have

$$\delta_{\alpha', \beta'}^{tw} \underline{m}_{\alpha, \beta} (1_{\mathcal{O}_M^F}, 1_{\mathcal{O}_N^F}) (M', N') = \sum_{[L] \in \mathbb{E}_\alpha^F / G_\alpha^F} F_{MN}^L h_L^{M' N'}.$$

Lemma 3.7. For $M \in \mathbb{E}_\alpha^F, N \in \mathbb{E}_\beta^F, M' \in \mathbb{E}_{\alpha'}^F, N' \in \mathbb{E}_{\beta'}^F$, we have

$$\underline{m}\delta^{tw} (1_{\mathcal{O}_M^F}, 1_{\mathcal{O}_N^F}) (M', N') = \sum_{[X], [Y_1], [Y_2], [Z]} q^{-\langle \dim X, \dim Z \rangle} F_{XY_2}^{M'} F_{Y_1 Z}^{N'} h_M^{XY_1} h_N^{Y_2 Z},$$

where $[X] \in \mathbb{E}_{\alpha_2}^F / G_{\alpha_2}^F, [Y_1] \in \mathbb{E}_{\alpha_1}^F / G_{\alpha_1}^F, [Y_2] \in \mathbb{E}_{\beta_2}^F / G_{\beta_2}^F, [Z] \in \mathbb{E}_{\beta_1}^F / G_{\beta_1}^F$.

In order to study the relation between $\underline{m}\delta^{tw}$ and $\delta_{\alpha', \beta'}^{tw} \underline{m}_{\alpha, \beta}$, we refer the reformulation of the proof of Green's theorem in [30].

First, we shall count the set of crossings with the group action. More precisely, fix M, N, M', N' and consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 0 & & & \\ & & & \downarrow & & & \\ & & & N' & & & \\ & & & \downarrow a' & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \xrightarrow{a} & L & \xrightarrow{b} & M \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow b' & & \\ & & & & M' & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

Set

$$Q = \{(a, b, a', b') \mid a, b, a', b' \text{ as in the above crossing}\}.$$

By calculation, $|Q| = \sum_{[L] \in \mathbb{E}_\alpha^F / G_\alpha^F} F_{MN}^L h_L^{M'N'} |G_\alpha^F| |G_\beta^F|$. Consider the natural action of G_α^F on Q , and the orbit space is denoted by $\tilde{Q}$. The fibre of the map $Q \rightarrow \tilde{Q}$ has cardinality $\frac{|G_\alpha^F|}{|\text{Hom}(\text{Coker } b', \text{Ker } b'a)|}$.

Next, we shall count the squares with the group action. More precisely, consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & & 0 & \\
 & & \downarrow & & & \downarrow & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & Z & \xrightarrow{e_1} & N' & \xrightarrow{e_2} & Y_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow u' & & & \downarrow x & \\
 & & N & & & M & \\
 & & \downarrow v' & & & \downarrow y & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & Y_2 & \xrightarrow{e_3} & M' & \xrightarrow{e_4} & X \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & & \downarrow & \\
 & & 0 & & & 0 &
 \end{array}$$

Set

$$\mathcal{O} = \{(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, u', v', x, y) \mid \text{all morphisms occur in the above diagram}\}.$$

The group $G_{\alpha_1}^F \times G_{\alpha_2}^F \times G_{\beta_1}^F \times G_{\beta_2}^F$ freely acts on $\mathcal{O}$ with orbit space $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$. Note that

$$|\tilde{\mathcal{O}}| = \sum_{[X], [Y_1], [Y_2], [Z]} F_{XY_2}^{M'} F_{Y_1Z}^{N'} h_M^{XY_1} h_N^{Y_2Z} |G_\alpha^F| |G_\beta^F|.$$

There is a canonical map $\tilde{f} : \tilde{Q} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$. And the cardinality of the fibre of this map is $|\text{Ext}^1(X, Z)|$. Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a_{M_1} a_{M_2} a_{N_1} a_{N_2} \sum_{[L]} F_{M_1 N_1}^L F_{M_2 N_2}^L a_L^{-1} \\
 &= \sum_{[X], [Y_1], [Y_2], [Z]} \frac{|\text{Ext}_A^1(X, Z)|}{|\text{Hom}_A(X, Z)|} F_{XY_1}^{M_1} F_{XY_2}^{M_2} F_{Y_2Z}^{N_1} F_{Y_1Z}^{N_2} a_X a_{Y_1} a_{Y_2} a_Z,
 \end{aligned}$$

which is the Green formula.

Applying the Riedtmann-Peng formula, we have the identity

$$\sum_{[L] \in \mathbb{E}_\alpha^F / G_\alpha^F} F_{MN}^L h_L^{M'N'} = \sum_{[X], [Y_1], [Y_2], [Z]} q^{-\langle \dim X, \dim Z \rangle} F_{XY_2}^{M'} F_{Y_1Z}^{N'} h_M^{XY_1} h_N^{Y_2Z}.$$

The right side of the identity in Lemma 3.7 is the left side of this identity and the right side of the identity in Lemma 3.6 is the right side of this identity. Hence, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.8. *With the above notations, Diagram (3.2) is commutative, i.e.,*

$$\delta_{\alpha', \beta'}^{tw} \underline{m}_{\alpha, \beta} = \underline{m} \delta^{tw}.$$

This theorem can be viewed as the geometric analog of Green's theorem.

As in Ringel-Hall algebras, we can define the analogue $\sigma : \mathcal{CF}^F(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{CF}^F(Q)$ of the antipode by setting

$$\sigma(f) = \sum_{r \geq 1 \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^r \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \neq 0} \underline{m}_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r}^r \circ \delta_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r}^{tw, r}(f)$$

for $f \neq 0 \in \mathcal{CF}^F(Q)$.

In order to compare Lusztig's Hall algebras with twisted Ringel-Hall algebras, we twist $\mathcal{CF}^F(Q)$ by setting $\underline{m}_{\alpha, \beta}^t = v^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \underline{m}_{\alpha, \beta}$, $\delta_{\alpha, \beta}^t = v^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{tw}$ and

$$\sigma^t(f) = \sum_{r \geq 1 \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^r \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \neq 0} \underline{m}_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r}^{t, r} \circ \delta_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r}^{t, r}(f).$$

We denote the twisted version by $\mathcal{CF}^{F, tw}(Q)$.

On the relations between σ^t with $\underline{m}^t$ and δ^t , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^t(f \cdot g) &= \sigma^t(g) \cdot \sigma^t(f), \text{ for any } f, g \in \mathcal{CF}^{F, tw}(Q), \\ \delta^t(\sigma^t(f)) &= (\sigma^t \otimes \sigma^t) \delta^{t, op}(f), \text{ for any } f \in \mathcal{CF}^{F, tw}(Q), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \underline{m}^t(\sigma^t \otimes 1) \delta^t(f) = \underline{m}^t(1 \otimes \sigma^t) \delta^t(f) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } f \neq 0 \in \mathcal{CF}^{F, tw}(Q), \\ 1_0 & \text{if } f = 0 \in \mathcal{CF}^{F, tw}(Q), \end{cases}$$

where $\delta^{t, op}$ is the composition of δ^t with the linear map $x \otimes y \mapsto y \otimes x$ and 1_0 is constant function on $\mathbb{E}_0$, which is the unit element in $\mathcal{CF}^{F, tw}(Q)$.

By applying Lemma 3.1, 3.2 and Theorem 3.8, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.9. *Fix an isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. There is an isomorphism of algebras*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathcal{CF}^{F, tw}(Q) &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{tw}(\mathcal{A}) \\ 1_{\mathcal{O}_M^F} &\mapsto [M] \end{aligned}$$

commutative with δ^t and σ^t , where $\mathcal{A} = \text{Rep}_k Q$ and $M \in \mathcal{A}$.

4. THE CATEGORIFICATION OF RINGEL-HALL ALGEBRAS

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field with q elements. In the following, $\mathbb{K}$ is an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Let X be a scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{K}$. We say that X has an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -structure if there exists a variety X_0 over $\mathbb{F}_q$ such that $X = X_0 \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q)} \text{Spec}(\mathbb{K})$. Let $F_{X_0} : X_0 \rightarrow X_0$ be the Frobenius morphism. It can be extended to the morphism $F_X : X \rightarrow X$. Let X^F be the set of closed points of X fixed by F , i.e., the set of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let X^{F^n} be the set of closed points of X fixed by F^n . Note that $X^{F^1} = X^F$.

Denote by $\mathcal{D}^b(X) = \mathcal{D}^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ the bounded derived category of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ -constructible complexes on X .

The morphism $F_X : X \rightarrow X$ naturally induces a functor $F_X^* : \mathcal{D}^b(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(X)$. A Weil complex is a pair $(\mathcal{F}, j)$ such that $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ and $j : F_X^*(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism.

Fix an isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We refer to [15] for the definitions of ι -pure and ι -mixed complexes. Let $x \in X^F$ be a closed point. For any Weil sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X , the isomorphism $j : F_X^*(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ induces an automorphism

$$j_x : \mathcal{F}|_x \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_x.$$

For any $w \in \mathbb{R}$, the Weil sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X is called ι -pure of weight w if all eigenvalues λ of the automorphism j_x^n satisfies that $|\iota(\lambda)| = (q^n)^{\frac{w}{2}}$ for any n and any $x \in X^{F^n}$. In this case, we denote $\text{Weight}(\mathcal{F}) = w$. The sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is called ι -mixed if it admits a finite filtration of successive quotient which is ι -pure.

For any Weil complex $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{F}$ is called ι -mixed if the cohomology sheaves $\mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{F})$ are mixed. Let $\mathcal{D}_w^b(X)$ be the triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ of ι -mixed Weil complexes and $K_w(X)$ be the Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{D}_w^b(X)$.

Let $x \in X^F$ be a closed point. Given a Weil complex $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}, j)^1$ in $\mathcal{D}_w^b(X)$, we get automorphisms

$$F_{i,x} : \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{F})|_x \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{F})|_x.$$

One can define a F -invariant function $\chi_{\mathcal{F}}^F : X^F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ via defining

$$\chi_{\mathcal{F}}^F(x) = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{tr}(F_{i,x}, \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{F})|_x) = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{tr}(F_{i,x}).$$

Similarly, one can define $\chi_{\mathcal{F}}^{F^n} : X^{F^n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ via defining

$$\chi_{\mathcal{F}}^{F^n}(x) = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{tr}(F_{i,x}^n, \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{F})|_x) = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{tr}(F_{i,x}^n).$$

In particular, $\chi^{F^1} = \chi^F$.

Theorem 4.1. [15, Theorem 12.1] *Let X be as above. Then χ^F satisfies the following properties.*

- (1) *Let $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}[1]$ be a distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{D}_w^b(X)$. Then $\chi_{\mathcal{K}}^F + \chi_{\mathcal{M}}^F = \chi_{\mathcal{L}}^F$.*
- (2) *Let $g : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism. Then for $\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{D}_w^b(X)$ and $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{D}_w^b(Y)$, we have $\chi_{Rg_*\mathcal{K}}^F = g_!(\chi_{\mathcal{K}}^F)$ and $\chi_{g^*\mathcal{L}}^F = g^*(\chi_{\mathcal{L}}^F)$.*
- (3) *For $\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{D}_w^b(X)$, we have $\chi_{\mathcal{K}[d]}^F = (-1)^d \chi_{\mathcal{K}}^F$ and $\chi_{\mathcal{K}(n)}^F = q^{-n} \chi_{\mathcal{K}}^F$.*

By Theorem 4.1(1), the function $\chi_{\mathcal{F}}^{F^n}$ only depends on the isomorphism class of $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathcal{D}_w^b(X)$. Let $\mathcal{CF}(X^{F^n})$ be the vector space of all functions $X^{F^n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$. Hence, we obtain a map $\chi^{F^n} : K_w(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{CF}(X^{F^n})$.

Let G be an algebraic group over $\mathbb{K}$ and X be a scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{K}$ together with a G -action. Assume that X and G have $\mathbb{F}_q$ -structures and $X = X_0 \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q)} \text{Spec}(\mathbb{K})$, $G = G_0 \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q)} \text{Spec}(\mathbb{K})$. Let $F_{G_0} : G_0 \rightarrow G_0$ be the Frobenius morphism. It can be extended to the morphism $F_G : G \rightarrow G$. Denote by $\mathcal{D}_G^b(X) = \mathcal{D}_G^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ the G -equivariant bounded derived category of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ -constructible complexes on X and $\mathcal{D}_{G,w}^b(X)$ the subcategory of $\mathcal{D}_G^b(X)$ consisting of ι -mixed Weil complexes. Let $K_{G,w}(X)$ be the Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{D}_{G,w}^b(X)$.

¹All Weil complexes considered here are induced by the complexes in $\mathcal{D}^b(X_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$.

Assume that we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times X & \longrightarrow & X \\ F_G \times F_X \downarrow & & \downarrow F_X \\ G \times X & \longrightarrow & X. \end{array}$$

Then, the morphism $F_X : X \rightarrow X$ naturally induces a functor

$$F_X^* : \mathcal{D}_{G,w}^b(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G,w}^b(X).$$

Let $x \in X^F$ be a closed point. For any $(\mathcal{F}, j)$ in $\mathcal{D}_{G,w}^b(X)$, we get automorphisms

$$F_{i,x} : \mathcal{H}_G^i(\mathcal{F})|_x \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_G^i(\mathcal{F})|_x.$$

In the same way, one can define the G -equivariant version of χ^{F^n} for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by:

$$\chi_{\mathcal{F}}^F(x) = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{tr}(F_{i,x}, \mathcal{H}_G^i(\mathcal{F})|_x)$$

and

$$\chi_{\mathcal{F}}^{F^n}(x) = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{tr}(F_{i,x}^{F^n}, \mathcal{H}_G^i(\mathcal{F})|_x).$$

In particular, $\chi^{F^1} = \chi^F$.

Lemma 4.2. *For any $(\mathcal{F}, j) \in \mathcal{D}_{G,w}^b(X)$, $\chi_{\mathcal{F}}^{F^n}$ is a G -equivariant function.*

Proof. For any x and y in the same G -orbit of X , there exists an element $g \in G$ such that $g.x = y$. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}_G^i(\mathcal{F})|_x & \xrightarrow{F_x} & \mathcal{H}_G^i(\mathcal{F})|_x \\ \downarrow g^* & & \downarrow g^* \\ \mathcal{H}_G^i(g^*\mathcal{F})|_y & \xrightarrow{F_y} & \mathcal{H}_G^i(g^*\mathcal{F})|_y. \end{array}$$

Since $g^*\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}_G^i(\mathcal{F})|_x & \xrightarrow{F_x} & \mathcal{H}_G^i(\mathcal{F})|_x \\ \downarrow g^* & & \downarrow g^* \\ \mathcal{H}_G^i(\mathcal{F})|_y & \xrightarrow{F_y} & \mathcal{H}_G^i(\mathcal{F})|_y. \end{array}$$

By the definition of χ^{F^n} , $\chi_{\mathcal{F}}^{F^n}(x) = \chi_{\mathcal{F}}^{F^n}(y)$. That is $\chi_{\mathcal{F}}^{F^n}$ is a G -equivariant function. $\square$

In the G -equivariant case, we also have Theorem 4.1. Hence the function $\chi_{\mathcal{F}}^{F^n}$ also only depends on the isomorphism class of $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathcal{D}_{G,w}^b(X)$. Hence, we obtain a map $\chi^{F^n} : K_{G,w}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{CF}_G(X^{F^n})$.

Let Q be a finite quiver. Given a dimension vector $\alpha = \sum_{i \in Q_0} \alpha_i i \in \mathbb{N}Q_0$, the variety $\mathbb{E}_\alpha$ and the algebraic group G_α are defined in Section 3. Both of them have natural $\mathbb{F}_q$ -structures. Consider the following diagram

$$\mathbb{E}_\alpha \times \mathbb{E}_\beta \xleftarrow{p_1} \mathbb{E}' \xrightarrow{p_2} \mathbb{E}'' \xrightarrow{p_3} \mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}.$$

This induces a functor

$$\mathbf{m} : \mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha \times G_\beta, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_\alpha \times \mathbb{E}_\beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha+\beta}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta})$$

described as the composition of the following functors:

$$\mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha \times G_\beta, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_\alpha \times \mathbb{E}_\beta) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{p}_1^*} \mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha \times G_\beta \times G_{\alpha+\beta}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}') \xrightarrow{(\mathbf{p}_2)_b} \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha+\beta}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}'') \xrightarrow{(\mathbf{p}_3)_!} \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha+\beta}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}),$$

where $(\mathbf{p}_2)_b$ is the inverse of the pull-back functor

$$\mathbf{p}_2^* : \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha+\beta}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}'') \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha \times G_\beta \times G_{\alpha+\beta}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}'),$$

which is an equivalence of derived categories. By definition,

$$\chi_{(\mathbf{p}_2)_b}^F(\mathcal{K}) = \frac{1}{|G_\alpha \times G_\beta|} \chi_{(\mathbf{p}_2)_!}^F(\mathcal{K})$$

for $\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha+\beta}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}'')$ since p_2 is a principal $G_\alpha \times G_\beta$ -bundle.

Applying Theorem 4.1, we obtain the following commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha \times G_\beta, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_\alpha \times \mathbb{E}_\beta) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{p}_1^*} & \mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha \times G_\beta \times G_{\alpha+\beta}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}') & \xrightarrow{(\mathbf{p}_2)_b} & \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha+\beta}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}'') & \xrightarrow{(\mathbf{p}_3)_!} & \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha+\beta}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{CF}_{G_\alpha \times G_\beta}(\mathbb{E}_\alpha^F \times \mathbb{E}_\beta^F) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{p}_1^*} & \mathcal{CF}_{G_\alpha \times G_\beta \times G_{\alpha+\beta}}(\mathbb{E}'^F) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \mathcal{CF}_{G_{\alpha+\beta}}(\mathbb{E}''^F) & \xrightarrow{(\mathbf{p}_3)_!} & \mathcal{CF}_{G_{\alpha+\beta}}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}^F) \end{array}$$

where $\tau = \frac{1}{|G_\alpha \times G_\beta|}(\mathbf{p}_2)_!$. Hence, the linear functor $\mathbf{m} : \mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha \times G_\beta, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_\alpha \times \mathbb{E}_\beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha+\beta}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta})$ satisfies that the following diagram is commutative

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha \times G_\beta, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_\alpha \times \mathbb{E}_\beta) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{m}} & \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha+\beta}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}) \\ \downarrow \chi^F & & \downarrow \chi^F \\ \mathcal{CF}_{G_\alpha \times G_\beta}(\mathbb{E}_\alpha^F \times \mathbb{E}_\beta^F) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{m}} & \mathcal{CF}_{G_{\alpha+\beta}}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}^F). \end{array}$$

Lemma 4.3. *For simple perverse sheaves $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha \times G_\beta, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_\alpha \times \mathbb{E}_\beta)$, $\mathbf{m}(\mathcal{L})$ is still semisimple in $\mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha+\beta}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta})$.*

Proof. Since $\mathbf{p}_1$ is smooth with connect fibres, $\mathbf{p}_1^*(\mathcal{L})$ is still semisimple by Section 4.2.4 and 4.2.5 in [1]. Since $(\mathbf{p}_2)_b$ is a equivalence of categories, $(\mathbf{p}_2)_b \mathbf{p}_1^*(\mathcal{L})$ is still semisimple. At last, the fact that $\mathbf{p}_3$ is proper implies that $\mathbf{m}(\mathcal{L}) = (\mathbf{p}_3)_!(\mathbf{p}_2)_b \mathbf{p}_1^*(\mathcal{L})$ is also semisimple. $\square$

By Lemma 4.3, the linear functor $\mathbf{m} : \mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha \times G_\beta, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_\alpha \times \mathbb{E}_\beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha+\beta}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta})$ induces a linear map

$$\mathbf{m} : K_{G_\alpha \times G_\beta, w}(\mathbb{E}_\alpha \times \mathbb{E}_\beta) \rightarrow K_{G_{\alpha+\beta}}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta})$$

such that the following diagram is commutative

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K_{G_\alpha \times G_\beta, w}(\mathbb{E}_\alpha \times \mathbb{E}_\beta) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{m}} & K_{G_{\alpha+\beta}}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}) \\ \downarrow \chi^F & & \downarrow \chi^F \\ \mathcal{CF}_{G_\alpha \times G_\beta}(\mathbb{E}_\alpha^F \times \mathbb{E}_\beta^F) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{m}} & \mathcal{CF}_{G_{\alpha+\beta}}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}^F). \end{array}$$

Set $\mathbf{K}_w = \bigoplus_{\alpha} K_{G_{\alpha},w}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha})$ and $\mathcal{CF}^F(Q) = \bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathcal{CF}_{G_{\alpha}}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha}^F)$. There is a linear map from $\mathbf{K}_w$ to $\mathcal{CF}^F(Q)$ induced by χ^F . For simplicity, we also denote it by χ^F . For $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha},w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha})$ and $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\beta},w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\beta})$, define $[\mathcal{M}] * [\mathcal{N}] := [\mathbf{m}(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})]$. Then the linear maps $\mathbf{m}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{m}}$ endow $\mathbf{K}_w$ and $\mathcal{CF}^F(Q)$ with multiplication structures, respectively. Using Diagram (4.2), we obtain the following result.

Proposition 4.4. The $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map $\chi^F : \mathbf{K}_w \rightarrow \mathcal{CF}^F(Q)$ is an algebra homomorphism.

Consider the diagram

$$\mathbb{E}_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{E}_{\beta} \xleftarrow{\kappa} F_{\alpha,\beta} \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}.$$

This induces a functor

$$\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} : \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha+\beta},w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha} \times G_{\beta},w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{E}_{\beta})$$

as the composition of functors:

$$\mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha} \times G_{\beta},w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{E}_{\beta}) \xleftarrow{\kappa!} \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha+\beta},w}^b(F_{\alpha,\beta}) \xleftarrow{i^*} \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha+\beta},w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}).$$

Applying Theorem 4.1, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha+\beta},w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}} & \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha} \times G_{\beta},w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{E}_{\beta}) \\ \downarrow \chi^F & & \downarrow \chi^F \\ \mathcal{CF}_{G_{\alpha+\beta}}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}^F) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{\alpha,\beta}} & \mathcal{CF}_{G_{\alpha} \times G_{\beta}}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha}^F \times \mathbb{E}_{\beta}^F). \end{array}$$

Lemma 4.5. [4]² For simple perverse sheaves $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha+\beta},w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta})$, $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{L})$ is still semisimple in $\mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha} \times G_{\beta},w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{E}_{\beta})$.

Proof. Since $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a hyperbolic localization ([4]). $\square$

By Lemma 4.5, the linear functor $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} : \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha+\beta},w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha} \times G_{\beta},w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{E}_{\beta})$ induces a linear map

$$\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} : K_{G_{\alpha+\beta},w}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}) \rightarrow K_{G_{\alpha} \times G_{\beta},w}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{E}_{\beta})$$

such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{G_{\alpha+\beta},w}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}^{tw}} & K_{G_{\alpha} \times G_{\beta},w}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{E}_{\beta}) \\ \downarrow \chi^F & & \downarrow \chi^F \\ \mathcal{CF}_{G_{\alpha+\beta}}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}^F) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{\alpha,\beta}^{tw}} & \mathcal{CF}_{G_{\alpha} \times G_{\beta}}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha}^F \times \mathbb{E}_{\beta}^F), \end{array}$$

where $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}^{tw} = \kappa! i^* [\sum_{i \in Q_0} \alpha_i \beta_i] (\frac{\sum_{i \in Q_0} \alpha_i \beta_i}{2})$.

The maps $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}^{tw}$ and $\delta_{\alpha,\beta}^{tw}$ induce the comultiplication structures over $\mathbf{K}_w$ and $\mathcal{CF}^F(Q)$, respectively. In the same way as Proposition 4.4, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 4.6. The $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map $\chi^F : \mathbf{K}_w \rightarrow \mathcal{CF}^F(Q)$ is a coalgebra homomorphism.

²The authors thank Hiraku Nakajima for pointing out Reference [4].

In Section 3, we have shown that there exists a comultiplication structure over $\mathcal{CF}^F(Q)$. By Green's theorem, the comultiplication is compatible with the multiplication structure and then $\mathcal{CF}^F(Q)$ is a bialgebra. Naturally, one would like to check whether $\mathbf{K}_w$ is a bialgebra. Let $\mathcal{CF}^{F^n}(Q) = \bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathcal{CF}_{G_{\alpha}}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha}^{F^n})$. Similarly to $\chi^F : \mathbf{K}_w \rightarrow \mathcal{CF}^F(Q)$, we have $\chi^{F^n} : \mathbf{K}_w \rightarrow \mathcal{CF}^{F^n}(Q)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 4.7. [15, Theorem 12.1] *The ring homomorphism*

$$\chi = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \chi^{F^n} : \mathbf{K}_w \rightarrow \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{CF}^{F^n}(Q)$$

is injective.

The following theorem can be viewed as the categorification of the Green formula in Section 2.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $\mathcal{L}_1 \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha})$ and $\mathcal{L}_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\beta}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\beta})$ be two simple perverse sheaves. Then we have*

$$\Delta(\mathcal{L}_1 * \mathcal{L}_2) = \Delta(\mathcal{L}_1) * \Delta(\mathcal{L}_2).$$

Proof. For simple perverse sheaves $\mathcal{L}_1 \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha})$ and $\mathcal{L}_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\beta}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\beta})$, $\mathcal{L}_1 * \mathcal{L}_2$ is still semisimple by Lemma 4.3 and then $\Delta(\mathcal{L}_1 * \mathcal{L}_2)$ is semisimple by Lemma 4.5. The rightside $\Delta(\mathcal{L}_1) * \Delta(\mathcal{L}_2)$ of the equation is also semisimple by Lemma 4.3 and 4.5. Hence, it is enough to prove the equation holds in $\mathbf{K}_w$. By Theorem 4.7, it is equivalent to show that

$$\chi^{F^n}(\Delta(\mathcal{L}_1 * \mathcal{L}_2)) = \chi^{F^n}(\Delta(\mathcal{L}_1) * \Delta(\mathcal{L}_2))$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that

$$\chi^{F^n}(\Delta(\mathcal{L}_1 * \mathcal{L}_2)) = \delta(\chi^{F^n}(\mathcal{L}_1) * \chi^{F^n}(\mathcal{L}_2))$$

and

$$\chi^{F^n}(\Delta(\mathcal{L}_1) * \Delta(\mathcal{L}_2)) = \delta(\chi^{F^n}(\mathcal{L}_1)) * \delta(\chi^{F^n}(\mathcal{L}_2)).$$

By Theorem 3.8,

$$\delta(\chi^{F^n}(\mathcal{L}_1) * \chi^{F^n}(\mathcal{L}_2)) = \delta(\chi^{F^n}(\mathcal{L}_1)) * \delta(\chi^{F^n}(\mathcal{L}_2)).$$

Hence we have the desired result. $\square$

As a corollary, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.9. *The algebra $\mathbf{K}_w$ is a bialgebra and the $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map $\chi^F : \mathbf{K}_w \rightarrow \mathcal{CF}^F(Q)$ is a bialgebra homomorphism.*

The algebra $\mathbf{K}_w$ has a natural $\mathbb{Z}$ -module structure with a basis consisting of the isomorphism classes of simple perverse sheaves. There is also a natural $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ -module structure on $\mathbf{K}_w$ by

$$v[\mathcal{L}] = [\mathcal{L}[1](\frac{1}{2})] \text{ and } v^{-1}[\mathcal{L}] = [\mathcal{L}[-1](\frac{1}{2})]$$

for any dimension vector α and $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha})$. Consider the equivalence classes of this basis under Tate twist and choose a representative in any equivalence class. The set of all representatives is denoted by $\mathbf{B}_w$.

Proposition 4.10. *The algebra $\mathbf{K}_w$ is a free $\mathbb{A}$ -module with $\mathbf{B}_w$ as a basis.*

For a variety X as above, we denote by $\mathcal{D}_{im,G,w}^b(X)$ the subcategory of $\mathcal{D}_{G,w}^b(X)$ consisting of ι -mixed Weil complexes of integer weights. Let $K_{im,G,w}(X)$ be the corresponding Grothendieck group.

Set

$$\mathbf{I}_w = \bigoplus_{\alpha} K_{im,G_{\alpha},w}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha}).$$

For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}Q_0$, let $\mathcal{I}_{w,\alpha}$ be the set of direct sums of ι -pure simple perverse sheaves in $\mathcal{D}_{im,G,w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha})$ with weight 0,

$$\mathbf{B}_{\alpha} = \{[\mathcal{L}] \mid \mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{I}_{w,\alpha} \text{ is simple}\}$$

and $\mathbf{B} = \sqcup_{\alpha} \mathbf{B}_{\alpha}$.

Proposition 4.11. As the $\mathbb{A}$ -submodule of $\mathbf{K}_w$, $\mathbf{I}_w$ is free and has $\mathbf{B}$ as a basis.

The basis $\mathbf{B}$ is called the canonical basis of $\mathbf{I}_w$.

Theorem 4.12. The $\mathbb{A}$ -module $\mathbf{I}_w$ is a sub-bialgebra of $\mathbf{K}_w$.

Proof. Due to [15, I.9, II.12], we have the following commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}_{im,G_{\alpha} \times G_{\beta},w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{E}_{\beta}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{m}_{im,\alpha,\beta}} & \mathcal{D}_{im,G_{\alpha+\beta},w}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha} \times G_{\beta},w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{E}_{\beta}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{m}_{\alpha,\beta}} & \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha+\beta},w}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}_{im,G_{\alpha+\beta},w}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{im,\alpha,\beta}} & \mathcal{D}_{im,G_{\alpha} \times G_{\beta},w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{E}_{\beta}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha+\beta},w}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}} & \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha} \times G_{\beta},w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{E}_{\beta}), \end{array}$$

where $\mathbf{m}_{im,\alpha,\beta}$ and $\Delta_{im,\alpha,\beta}$ are the natural restriction of $\mathbf{m}_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}$, respectively. Hence the $\mathbb{A}$ -module $\mathbf{I}_w$ is a sub-bialgebra of $\mathbf{K}_w$. $\square$

Set ${}_{\mathbb{Q}(v)}\mathbf{K}_w = \mathbf{K}_w \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[v,v^{-1}]} \mathbb{Q}(v)$ and ${}_{\mathbb{Q}(v)}\mathbf{I}_w = \mathbf{I}_w \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[v,v^{-1}]} \mathbb{Q}(v)$

Theorem 4.13. The algebra ${}_{\mathbb{Q}(v)}\mathbf{I}_w$ is a sub-bialgebra of ${}_{\mathbb{Q}(v)}\mathbf{K}_w$.

We also consider the twisted version of $\mathbf{K}_w$ in order to preserve the subcategories of perverse sheaves by defining

$$\mathbf{m}_{\alpha,\beta}^t = \mathbf{m}_{\alpha,\beta}[\{\alpha, \beta\}]\left(\frac{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle}{2}\right),$$

where $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \sum_{i \in Q_0} \alpha_i \beta_i + \sum_{h \in Q_1} \alpha_{s(h)} \beta_{t(h)}$ and

$$\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}^t = \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}^{tw}\left[-\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle\right]\left(-\frac{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle}{2}\right).$$

We denote by $\mathbf{K}_w^{tw}$ the twist of $\mathbf{K}_w$ with the multiplication and comultiplication induced by $\mathbf{m}_{\alpha,\beta}^t$ and $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}^t$, respectively. For $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha},w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha})$ and $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\beta},w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\beta})$, define $[\mathcal{M}] \cdot [\mathcal{N}] := \mathbf{m}^t(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})$. Similarly, denote by $\mathbf{I}_w^{tw}$ the twist of $\mathbf{I}_w$.

The following lemma is a simple generalization of Lusztig's construction over quantum groups ([31, Theorem 3.24]).

Lemma 4.14. *There is a bialgebra homomorphism $\chi^{F,tw} : \mathbf{K}_w^{tw} \rightarrow \mathcal{CF}^{F,tw}(Q)$ by sending $[\mathcal{L}]$ for $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_\alpha)$ to $v^{\dim G_\alpha} \chi^F(\mathcal{L})$.*

Corollary 4.15. The ring homomorphism

$$\chi^{tw} = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \chi^{F^n, tw} : \mathbf{K}_w^{tw} \rightarrow \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{CF}^{F^n, tw}(Q)$$

is an injective homomorphism.

We will endow $\mathbf{K}_w^{tw}$ with the structure of the antipode map as an analogue of the antipode over a Ringel-Hall algebra. Let $\mathbf{m}^r$ and Δ^r be the r -fold multiplication and comultiplication for $r \geq 1$. More explicitly, we have the following functors:

$$\mathbf{m}_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r}^r : \mathcal{D}_{\prod_{i=1}^r G_{\alpha_i}, w}^b \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \mathbb{E}_{\alpha_i} \right) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r})$$

and

$$\Delta_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r}^r : \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\prod_{i=1}^r G_{\alpha_i}, w}^b \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \mathbb{E}_{\alpha_i} \right)$$

such that $\mathbf{m}^{r+1} = \mathbf{m} \circ (1 \otimes \mathbf{m}^r)$ and $\Delta^{r+1} = (1 \otimes \dots \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta^r$ for $r \geq 1$.

Now we define the functor $S : \mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_\alpha)$ by setting

$$S(\mathcal{L}) = \bigoplus_{r \geq 1} \bigoplus_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \neq 0} \mathbf{m}_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r}^{t, r} \circ \Delta_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r}^{t, r}(\mathcal{L})[r] \text{ for } [\mathcal{L}] \neq 0 \text{ and } S(0) = 0.$$

For simplicity, we denote still by S the induced map over $K_{G_\alpha, w}(\mathbb{E}_\alpha)$, even $\mathbf{K}_w^{tw}$.

Lemma 4.16. *The map $\chi^{F,tw}$ satisfies that $\chi^{F,tw}(S(\mathcal{L})) = \sigma^t(\chi^{F,tw}(\mathcal{L}))$ for $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_\alpha)$.*

Proof. This follows from $\chi^{F,tw} \mathbf{m}^{t, r} = \underline{\mathbf{m}}^{t, r} \chi^{F,tw}$ and $\chi^{F,tw} \Delta^{t, r} = \delta^{t, r} \chi^{F,tw}$. $\square$

Proposition 4.17. With the above notations, we have

$$\mathbf{m}^t(S \otimes 1) \Delta^t([\mathcal{L}]) = \mathbf{m}^t(1 \otimes S) \Delta^t([\mathcal{L}]) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } [\mathcal{L}] \neq 0, \\ [\mathbf{1}_0] & \text{if } [\mathcal{L}] = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{1}_0$ is the constant sheaf on $\mathbb{E}_0$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.7, we only need to prove that

$$\chi^{F^k, tw}(\mathbf{m}^t(S \otimes 1) \Delta^t([\mathcal{L}])) = \chi^{F^k, tw}(\mathbf{m}^t(1 \otimes S) \Delta^t([\mathcal{L}])) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } [\mathcal{L}] \neq 0, \\ [\mathbf{1}_0] & \text{if } [\mathcal{L}] = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since we have $\chi^{F^k, tw} \mathbf{m}^{t, r} = \underline{\mathbf{m}}^{t, r} \chi^{F^k, tw}$, $\chi^{F^k, tw} \Delta^{t, r} = \delta^{t, r} \chi^{F^k, tw}$ and $\chi^{F, tw} S = \sigma^t \chi^{F, tw}$, the equation (3.5) implies the desired result. $\square$

As a corollary of Theorem 4.12, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.18. *The algebra $\mathbf{I}_w^{tw}$ is closed under the antipode S of $\mathbf{K}_w^{tw}$.*

As a consequence of Theorem 4.12, Proposition 4.17 and Lemma 4.18, we obtain the main theorem in this section.

Theorem 4.19. *The algebra $\mathbf{I}_w^{tw}$ is a bialgebra with the antipode S such that the following conditions:*

- (1) $S(x \cdot y) = S(y) \cdot S(x)$, for any $x, y \in \mathbf{I}_w^{tw}$,
- (2) $\Delta^t(S(x)) = (S \otimes S) \Delta^{t, op}(x)$, for any $x \in \mathbf{I}_w^{tw}$,

$$(3) \quad \mathfrak{m}^t(S \otimes 1)\Delta^t([\mathcal{L}]) = \mathfrak{m}^t(1 \otimes S)\Delta^t([\mathcal{L}]) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } [\mathcal{L}] \neq 0, \\ [\mathbf{1}_0] & \text{if } [\mathcal{L}] = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\Delta^{t,op}$ is the composition of Δ^t with the linear map $x \otimes y \mapsto y \otimes x$.

There is the other version of the Grothendieck group with the Hopf structure defined in the same way as [20]. Let α be a dimension vector and $\mathcal{R}_{im,\alpha}$ be the additive category of complexes isomorphic to sums of shifts of simple perverse sheaves in $\mathcal{D}_{im,G_\alpha,w}(\mathbb{E}_\alpha)$. Define $K(\mathcal{R}_{im,\alpha})$ to be the Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{R}_{im,\alpha}$ as an additive category. It can be viewed as an $\mathbb{A}$ -module by setting $v[\mathcal{L}] = [\mathcal{L}[1](\frac{1}{2})]$ and $v^{-1}[\mathcal{L}] = [\mathcal{L}[-1](-\frac{1}{2})]$ for $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{R}_{im,\alpha}$. Set $K(\mathcal{R}_{im}) = \bigoplus_\alpha K(\mathcal{R}_{im,\alpha})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(v)K(\mathcal{R}_{im}) = K(\mathcal{R}_{im}) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \mathbb{Q}(v)$.

Proposition 4.20. As the $\mathbb{A}$ -module, $K(\mathcal{R}_{im})$ is free and has isomorphism classes of ι -mixed simple perverse sheaves of integer weight as the basis.

Applying Lemma 4.3 and 4.5, we have

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{R}_{im,\alpha} \boxtimes \mathcal{R}_{im,\beta}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{im,\alpha+\beta} \text{ and } \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{R}_{im,\alpha+\beta}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{im,\alpha} \boxtimes \mathcal{R}_{im,\beta},$$

for two dimension vectors α, β . Then $K(\mathcal{R}_{im})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(v)K(\mathcal{R}_{im})$ can be endowed with the multiplication and comultiplication. Applying Green's theorem, we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}_{im,\alpha} \boxtimes \mathcal{R}_{im,\beta} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha,\beta}} & \mathcal{R}_{im,\alpha+\beta} \\ \downarrow \Delta & & \downarrow \Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \\ \boxtimes_{\lambda \in \mathcal{N}} \mathcal{R}_{im,\lambda} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}} & \mathcal{R}_{im,\alpha'} \boxtimes \mathcal{R}_{im,\beta'} \end{array}$$

where λ and $\mathcal{N}$ are defined as in Section 3. Then $K(\mathcal{R}_{im})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(v)K(\mathcal{R}_{im})$ are bialgebras over $\mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{Q}(v)$, respectively.

Proposition 4.21. Let $K(\mathcal{R})$ be the quotient of $K(\mathcal{R}_{im})$ by the relations $[\mathcal{L}[1]] = -[\mathcal{L}]$ for any simple perverse sheaf $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{R}_{im}$. Then $K(\mathcal{R})$ can be viewed as an $\mathbb{A}$ -module. Write $\mathbb{Q}(v)K(\mathcal{R}) = K(\mathcal{R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \mathbb{Q}(v)$. There is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -bialgebras between $\mathbb{Q}(v)K(\mathcal{R})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(v)\mathbf{I}_w$.

5. RETURN TO QUANTUM GROUPS

In this section, we will compare the algebra $\mathbf{I}_w^{tw}$ with the categorifical construction of the quantum group associated to a quiver without a loop considered by Lusztig ([18]) and with loops generalized by Bozec ([3]). First, we shall recall some notations in [18] and [3].

Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, t)$ be a quiver. A vertex $i \in Q_0$ is called imaginary if there is at least one loop at i . Given a dimension vector $\alpha = \sum_{i \in Q_0} \alpha_i i \in \mathbb{N}Q_0$, define the variety

$$\mathbb{E}_\alpha := \mathbb{E}_\alpha(Q) = \bigoplus_{h \in Q_1} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbb{K}^{\alpha_{s(h)}}, \mathbb{K}^{\alpha_{t(h)}})$$

with the action of the algebraic group $G_\alpha := G_\alpha(Q) = \prod_{i \in Q_0} GL(\alpha_i, \mathbb{K})$. Let

$$Y_\alpha = \{\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}) \mid \sum_{l=1}^k a_l i_l = \alpha\},$$

where $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$, $i_l \in Q_0$, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$, $a_l \in \mathbb{N}$. For any element $\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a})$, a flag of type $\mathbf{y}$ in $\mathbb{K}^\alpha = \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} \mathbb{K}^{\alpha_i}$ is a sequence

$$\phi = (\mathbb{K}^\alpha = V^k \supset V^{k-1} \supset \dots \supset V^0 = 0)$$

of Q_0 -graded $\mathbb{K}$ -vector spaces such that $\dim V^l / V^{l-1} = a_l i_l$. Let $F_{\alpha, \mathbf{y}} = F_{\mathbf{y}}$ be the variety of all flags of type $\mathbf{y}$ in $\mathbb{K}^\alpha$. For any $x \in \mathbb{E}_\alpha$, a flag ϕ is called x -stable if $x_h(V_{s(h)}^l) \subset V_{t(h)}^l$ for all l and all $h \in H$. Let

$$\tilde{F}_{\alpha, \mathbf{y}} = \tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}} = \{(x, \phi) \in \mathbb{E}_\alpha \times F_{\mathbf{y}} \mid \phi \text{ is } x\text{-stable}\}$$

and $\pi_{\alpha, \mathbf{y}} : \tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_\alpha$ be the projection to $\mathbb{E}_\alpha$.

For each $\mathbf{y} \in Y_\alpha$, we set $1_{\alpha, \mathbf{y}} = (\pi_{\alpha, \mathbf{y}})_!(1_{\tilde{F}_{\alpha, \mathbf{y}}})$ where $1_{\tilde{F}_{\alpha, \mathbf{y}}}$ is the characteristic function over $\tilde{F}_{\alpha, \mathbf{y}}$. As in [21], we denote by $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ the subspace of $\mathcal{CF}_{G_\alpha}(\mathbb{E}_\alpha)$ spanned by $1_{\alpha, \mathbf{y}}$ for $\mathbf{y} \in Y_\alpha$.

By the decomposition theorem of Beilinson, Bernstein and Deligne ([1]), the Lusztig sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha, \mathbf{y}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}} = (\pi_{\alpha, \mathbf{y}})_!(1_{\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}}})[d_{\mathbf{y}}](\frac{d_{\mathbf{y}}}{2}) \in \mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha, w}(\mathbb{E}_\alpha)$ is a semisimple perverse sheaf, where $1_{\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}}}$ is the constant sheaf over $\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}}$ and $d_{\mathbf{y}} = \dim \tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}}$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_\alpha$ be a subcategory of the category of perverse sheaves. The objects in $\mathcal{P}_\alpha$ are direct sums of simple perverse sheaves, which are direct summands of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}}[r]$ for some $\mathbf{y} \in Y_\alpha$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $\mathcal{P}_\alpha$ is a subcategory of $\mathcal{I}_{w, \alpha}$.

Let $\mathcal{Q}_\alpha$ be the subcategory of $\mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha, w}(\mathbb{E}_\alpha)$, whose objects are the complexes that are isomorphic to finite direct sums of complexes of the form $\mathcal{L}[d](\frac{d}{2})$ for various $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let K_α be the Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{Q}_\alpha$. Define $v[\mathcal{L}] = [\mathcal{L}[1](\frac{1}{2})]$ and $v^{-1}[\mathcal{L}] = [\mathcal{L}[-1](\frac{1}{2})]$. Then, K_α is a free $\mathbb{A}$ -module. Define

$$K(\mathcal{Q}) = \bigoplus_{\alpha} K_\alpha.$$

The functors $\mathbf{m}$ and Δ in Section 4 can be restricted to the subcategory $\mathcal{Q}_\alpha$. The following observation is given in [20, Section 9].

Lemma 5.1. *Given two dimension vectors α, β , we have*

$$\mathbf{m}_{\alpha, \beta}(\mathcal{Q}_\alpha \boxtimes \mathcal{Q}_\beta) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha+\beta} \text{ and } \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}(\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha+\beta}) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_\alpha \boxtimes \mathcal{Q}_\beta.$$

Proof. By the definition of the trace map, $\chi^F(1_{\tilde{F}_{\alpha, \mathbf{y}}}) = 1_{\tilde{F}_{\alpha, \mathbf{y}}}$. By Theorem 4.1, we obtain

$$\chi^F(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha, \mathbf{y}}) = \chi^F(\pi_{\mathbf{y}})_!(1_{\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}}})[d_{\mathbf{y}}](\frac{d_{\mathbf{y}}}{2}) = (-v)^{d_{\mathbf{y}}} 1_{\alpha, \mathbf{y}}.$$

For $\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_\beta$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha+\beta}$ in $\mathcal{Q}_\alpha, \mathcal{Q}_\beta$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha+\beta}$ respectively, both $\mathbf{m}_{\alpha, \beta}(\mathcal{L}_\alpha \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_\beta)$ and $\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha+\beta})$ are semisimple by Lemma 4.3 and 4.5. By Theorem 4.7, it is enough to prove that $\underline{m}(1_{\alpha, \mathbf{y}}, 1_{\beta, \mathbf{y}'}) \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha+\beta}$ and $\delta(1_{\alpha+\beta, \mathbf{y}''}) \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha \otimes \mathcal{F}_\beta$. For any element $\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}) \in Y_\alpha$ with $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$, $i_l \in Q_0$, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$, $a_l \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $1_{\alpha, \mathbf{y}} = (1_{S_{i_k}})^{a_k} * (1_{S_{i_{k-1}}})^{a_{k-1}} * \dots * (1_{S_{i_1}})^{a_1}$ where $1_{S_{i_j}} = 1_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{i_j}}^F}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Hence, the lemma follows from Green's theorem and Lemma 3.3. $\square$

Following [18, Section 9], the embedding of $\mathcal{Q}_\alpha$ into $\mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_\alpha)$ induces the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Q}_\alpha \boxtimes \mathcal{Q}_\beta & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha, \beta}} & \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha+\beta} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha \times G_\beta, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_\alpha \times \mathbb{E}_\beta) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha, \beta}} & \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha+\beta}, w}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha+\beta} & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}} & \mathcal{Q}_\alpha \boxtimes \mathcal{Q}_\beta \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha+\beta}, w}(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha+\beta}) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}} & \mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha \times G_\beta, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_\alpha \times \mathbb{E}_\beta). \end{array}$$

In Section 4, we denote by $\mathcal{R}_\alpha = \mathcal{R}_{im, \alpha}$ the additive category of complexes isomorphic to sums of shifts of simple perverse sheaves in $\mathcal{D}_{im, G_\alpha, w}(\mathbb{E}_\alpha)$ and we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}_\alpha \boxtimes \mathcal{R}_\beta & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha, \beta}} & \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+\beta} \\ \downarrow \Delta & & \downarrow \Delta_{\alpha, \beta} \\ \boxtimes_{\lambda \in \mathcal{N}} \mathcal{R}_\lambda & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}} & \mathcal{R}_{\alpha'} \boxtimes \mathcal{R}_{\beta'} \end{array}$$

where λ and $\mathcal{N}$ are defined as in Section 3. As a natural corollary, the diagram induces the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \mathcal{Q}_\alpha \boxtimes \mathcal{Q}_\beta & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha, \beta}} & \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha+\beta} & \\ & \downarrow \Delta & & \downarrow \Delta_{\alpha, \beta} & \\ \mathcal{R}_\alpha \boxtimes \mathcal{R}_\beta & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha, \beta}} & \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+\beta} & & \\ \downarrow \Delta & & \downarrow \Delta_{\alpha, \beta} & & \\ & \boxtimes_{\lambda \in \mathcal{N}} \mathcal{Q}_\lambda & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}} & \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha'} \boxtimes \mathcal{Q}_{\beta'} & \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ \boxtimes_{\lambda \in \mathcal{N}} \mathcal{R}_\lambda & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{m}} & \mathcal{R}_{\alpha'} \boxtimes \mathcal{R}_{\beta'} & & \end{array}$$

With the multiplication and comultiplication induced by $\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha, \beta}^t$ and $\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^t$, respectively, $K(\mathcal{Q})$ can be endowed with the structures of multiplication and comultiplication.

Proposition 5.2. $K(\mathcal{Q})$ is the $\mathbb{A}$ -sub-bialgebra of $\mathbf{I}_w^{tw}$.

In the same way, we can define the antipode over $K(\mathcal{Q})$ as follows. The functors

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r}^r : \mathcal{D}_{\prod_{i=1}^r G_{\alpha_i}, w}^b \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \mathbb{E}_{\alpha_i} \right) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r})$$

and

$$\Delta_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r}^r : \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\prod_{i=1}^r G_{\alpha_i}, w}^b(\prod_{i=1}^r \mathbb{E}_{\alpha_i})$$

induce the functors (we use the same notations for convenience, this should not cause any confusion by contexts.)

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r}^r : \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha_1} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha_r} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r}$$

and

$$\Delta_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r}^r : \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha_1} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha_r}$$

with the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha_1} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha_r} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_1} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_r} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r} \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha_1} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha_r} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_1} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_r}. \end{array}$$

Now the functor $S : \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha})$ in Section 4 induces a functor $S : \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha}$ with the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha} & \xrightarrow{S} & \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{R}_{\alpha} & \xrightarrow{S} & \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}. \end{array}$$

As in Proposition 4.17, we obtain the antipode over $K(\mathcal{Q})$.

Proposition 5.3. There exists a map $S : K(\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{Q})$ such that

$$\mathfrak{m}^t(S \otimes 1) \Delta^t([\mathcal{L}]) = \mathfrak{m}^t(1 \otimes S) \Delta^t([\mathcal{L}]) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } [\mathcal{L}] \neq 0, \\ [\mathbf{1}_0] & \text{if } [\mathcal{L}] = 0. \end{cases}$$

6. THE TRACE MAP AND F -INVARIANT REPRESENTATIONS

In this section, we study the image of the trace map in Section 4. Let $\mathbb{K} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ and F be the Frobenius automorphism. Let Q be a quiver and $X = \mathbb{E}_{\alpha}$ be the variety of $\mathbb{K}Q$ -modules of dimension vector α . We recall some definitions and notations in [11]. The map F induces the morphism $F_X : X \rightarrow X$ by sending x to $F_X(x)$, i.e., sending representations $M(x)$ to $M(F_X(x))$. Sometime we write $M(x)^{[q]}$ for $M(F_X(x))$. There exists the smallest positive integer r such that $M(x) \cong M(x)^{[q^r]}$ over $\mathbb{K} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. We call $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$ the minimal field of definition of $M(x)$.

Let $(\mathcal{F}, j_{\mathcal{F}})$ be a Weil complex in $\mathcal{D}_{G, w}^b(X)$ where $G = G_{\alpha}$. If $x \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$, then by definition, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_x \xrightarrow{(j_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1})_x} (F_X^*(\mathcal{F}))_x = \mathcal{F}_{F_X(x)} = \mathcal{F}_x.$$

In general, if $x \in X(\mathbb{F}_{q^s})$ for $s \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_x \xrightarrow{(j_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1})_x} \mathcal{F}_{F_X(x)} \xrightarrow{(j_{F_X^*(\mathcal{F})}^{-1})_{F_X(x)}} \mathcal{F}_{F_X^2(x)} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{F_X^s(x)} = \mathcal{F}_x.$$

We denote by ϕ_x the composition of these maps. In the same way, we have

$$(F_X^*(\mathcal{F}))_x \xrightarrow{(j_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1})_x \circ \phi_x \circ (j_{\mathcal{F}})_x} (F_X^*(\mathcal{F}))_x.$$

Then we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_x & \xrightarrow{\phi_x} & \mathcal{F}_x \\ (j_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1})_x \downarrow & & \downarrow (j_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1})_x \\ \mathcal{F}_{F_X(x)} & \xrightarrow{(j_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1})_x \circ \phi_x \circ (j_{\mathcal{F}})_x} & \mathcal{F}_{F_X(x)} \end{array}$$

and then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{F})|_x & \xrightarrow{F_{i,x}^s} & \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{F})|_x \\ \rho_x \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_x \\ \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{F})|_{F_X(x)} & \xrightarrow{F_{i,F_X(x)}^s} & \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{F})|_{F_X(x)}, \end{array}$$

where ρ_x is the isomorphism of the stalk at x of the i -th cohomology sheaves induced by $j_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}$. As a result, we obtain the characterization of the image of the trace map.

Theorem 6.1. *For $s \geq 1$, the image of $\chi^{F^s} : \mathbf{K}_w \rightarrow \mathcal{CF}^{F^s}(Q)$ is the subspace spanned by the functions $f \in \mathcal{CF}^{F^s}(Q)$ satisfying $f(x) = f(F_X(x))$ for any dimension vector α , $X = \mathbb{E}_{\alpha}$ and $x \in \mathbb{E}_{\alpha}^{F^s}$.*

Proof. The above diagram shows that $\mathcal{F}_{i,F_X(x)}^s = \rho_x \circ \mathcal{F}_{i,x}^s \circ \rho_x^{-1}$ and then

$$\text{tr}(F_{i,x}^s, \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{F})|_x) = \text{tr}(F_{i,F_X(x)}^s, \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{F})|_{F_X(x)}).$$

This gives the identity $\chi_{\mathcal{F}}^{F^s}(x) = \chi_{\mathcal{F}}^{F^s}(F_X(x))$.

Conversely, for $s \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{FCF}^{F^s}(Q)$ be the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ -vector subspace of $\mathcal{CF}^{F^s}(Q)$ generated by the functions $f \in \mathcal{CF}^{F^s}(Q)$ with $f(x) = f(F_X(x))$ for any dimension vector α and $x \in \mathbb{E}_{\alpha}^{F^s}$. Then $\mathcal{FCF}^{F^s}(Q)$ is generated by characteristic functions $1_{\mathcal{O}_{F,M}}$ of G_{α} - F -orbits $\mathcal{O}_{F,M}$ for some dimension vector α and $M \in \mathbb{E}_{\alpha}^{F^s}$. Let $j : \mathcal{O}_{F,M} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\alpha}$ be the natural embedding. Then the complex $\mathcal{C}_M = j_!(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l[\dim \mathcal{O}_{F,M}])$ satisfies that $\chi^{F^t}([\mathcal{C}_M]) = 1_{\mathcal{O}_{F,M}^{F^t}}$ for $t \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, for $t < s$, $\mathcal{O}_{F,M}^{F^t} = \emptyset$ and $\chi^{F^t}([\mathcal{C}_M]) = 0$.

Given $b \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$, then there exists a generalized Weil complex $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^{(b)}$ over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that the Frobenius morphism acts as the multiplication by b : $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ (see [15, Chapter 1]). Consider the natural projection $\pi : X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. The complex $\mathcal{L}_s(b) = \pi^*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^{(\sqrt[s]{b})})$ satisfies that $\chi^{F^s}([\pi^*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^{(\sqrt[s]{b})})])$ is the constant function over $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha}^{F^s}$ with the value b . Then, we obtain

$$\chi^{F^s}(\mathcal{C}_M \otimes \mathcal{L}_s(b)) = b \cdot 1_{\mathcal{O}_{F,M}}.$$

Hence, $\chi^{F^s} : \mathbf{K}_w \rightarrow \mathcal{FCF}^{F^s}(Q)$ is surjective.

We complete the proof. $\square$

The map χ^{F^s} can be restricted to $\mathbf{I}_w$. Define $\chi_{\mathbf{I}_w}^{F^s} : \mathbb{Q}(v)\mathbf{I}_w \rightarrow \mathcal{CF}^{F^s}(Q)$ by sending $[M] \otimes f(v)$ to $f((-\sqrt{q})^s) \cdot \chi^{F^s}([M])$. Set $\chi_{\mathbf{I}_w, \alpha}^{F^s}$ to be the restriction of $\chi_{\mathbf{I}_w}^{F^s}$ to $\mathbb{Q}(v)K_{im, G_\alpha, w}(\mathbb{E}_\alpha)$ for any dimension vector α . We denote by

$$\mathbb{M}^F(\alpha, q^s) = \text{Im} \chi_{\mathbf{I}_w, \alpha}^{F^s} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}((-\sqrt{q})^s)} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l.$$

As the natural corollary of the above theorem, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 6.2. $\mathbb{M}^F(\alpha, q^s) = \mathcal{FCF}^{F^s}(Q)$.

Analogous to the notations $M_Q(\alpha, q^s)$, $I_Q(\alpha, q^s)$ and $A_Q(\alpha, q^s)$ in [11], we define the F_X -versions as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M_Q^F(\alpha, q^s) &= \text{the number of } G_\alpha\text{-}F_X\text{-orbits of representations} \\ &\quad \text{of } Q \text{ over } \mathbb{F}_{q^s} \text{ with dimension vector } \alpha, \\ I_Q^F(\alpha, q^s) &= \text{the number of } G_\alpha\text{-}F_X\text{-orbits of indecomposable} \\ &\quad \text{representations of } Q \text{ over } \mathbb{F}_{q^s} \text{ with dimension vector } \alpha, \\ A_Q^F(\alpha, q^s) &= \text{the number of } G_\alpha\text{-}F_X\text{-orbits of absolutely indecomposable} \\ &\quad \text{representations of } Q \text{ over } \mathbb{F}_{q^s} \text{ with dimension vector } \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

The following proposition is clear.

Proposition 6.3. With the above notations, we have

$$\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l} \mathbb{M}^F(\alpha, q^s) = M_Q^F(\alpha, q^s).$$

Let $M_Q^{min}(\alpha, q^r)$ be the number of isomorphism classes of representations of Q over $\mathbb{K} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ with dimension vector α and minimal field of definition $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$. By definition, we have

$$M_Q(\alpha, q^s) = \sum_{r|s} M_Q^{min}(\alpha, q^r), \quad M_Q^F(\alpha, q^s) = \sum_{r|s} \frac{1}{r} M_Q^{min}(\alpha, q^r).$$

The Möbius inversion of the first identity is

$$M_Q^{min}(\alpha, q^s) = \sum_{r|s} \mu\left(\frac{s}{r}\right) M_Q(\alpha, q^r).$$

Then we have

$$M_Q^F(\alpha, q^s) = \sum_{r|s} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{t|r} \mu\left(\frac{r}{t}\right) M_Q(\alpha, q^t).$$

In [12], the author shows that $M_Q(\alpha, q) \in \mathbb{Q}[q]$. The following proposition is a direct corollary.

Proposition 6.4. $M_Q^F(\alpha, q^s) \in \mathbb{Q}[q]$.

For example,

$$M_Q^F(\alpha, q) = M_Q(\alpha, q), \quad M_Q^F(\alpha, q^2) = \frac{1}{2} M_Q(\alpha, q^2) + \frac{1}{2} M_Q(\alpha, q)$$

and

$$M_Q^F(\alpha, q^3) = \frac{1}{3} M_Q(\alpha, q^3) + \frac{1}{6} M_Q(\alpha, q^2) + \frac{1}{2} M_Q(\alpha, q).$$

Proposition 6.5. There exists a polynomial $f(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ such that for any two prime numbers q, q' and $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(q^s) = M_Q^F(\alpha, q^s)$ and $f(q^s) = M_Q^{F'}(\alpha, q'^s)$ where F' is the Frobenius automorphism of $\mathbb{K}' = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q'}$, i.e., $F'(x) = x^{q'}$.

The proposition follows from that $M_Q(\alpha, q)$ is a polynomial in q with rational coefficients ([12, Section 4]).

7. THE SKEW HOPF PAIRING

Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, t)$ be a quiver. Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}Q_0$ and $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_\alpha)$, we set the following geometric pair:

$$\{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}\} = \sum_i (\dim H_{G_\alpha}^i(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}, \mathbb{E}_\alpha)) v^i.$$

One can refer to [31] for more details and the definition of $H_G^i(-, X)$.

The geometric pair has the following basic properties:

Lemma 7.1. [18] *For any $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha_1}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha_1})$, $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha_2}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha_2})$ and $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_\alpha)$ such that $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \alpha \in \mathbb{N}Q_0$, we have*

$$\{\mathfrak{m}(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N}), \mathcal{L}\} = \{\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N}, \Delta(\mathcal{L})\}.$$

Applying this lemma iteratively, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 7.2. For any $\mathcal{M}_i \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha_i}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha_i})$ ($i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$) and $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_\alpha)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i = \alpha \in \mathbb{N}Q_0$, we have

$$\{\mathfrak{m}^{t,r}(\mathcal{M}_1 \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_r), \mathcal{L}\} = \{\mathcal{M}_1 \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_r, \Delta^{t,r}(\mathcal{L})\}$$

for $r \geq 2$.

Proposition 7.3. Let $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}[1]$ be a distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_\alpha)$. Then

$$\{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}\}_{v=-1} = \{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{R}\}_{v=-1} + \{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}\}_{v=-1},$$

for any $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_\alpha)$.

Proof. By definition,

$$\{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}\} = \sum_i (\dim H_{G_\alpha}^i(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{R}, \mathbb{E}_\alpha)) v^i = \sum_i (\dim \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_\alpha)}^i(\mathcal{L}, D\mathcal{R})) v^i,$$

where D is the Verdier duality. Applying the functor $\text{Hom}(-, D\mathcal{R})$ to the distinguished triangle, we obtain

$$\dots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_\alpha)}^i(\mathcal{K}, D\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_\alpha)}^i(\mathcal{L}, D\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{D}_{G_\alpha, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_\alpha)}^i(\mathcal{M}, D\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Hence, we have $\{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}\}_{v=-1} = \{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{R}\}_{v=-1} + \{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}\}_{v=-1}$. $\square$

The proof of the proposition also implies that ([18])

$$\{\mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}\} = \{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{R}\} + \{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\mathcal{P}[n] \binom{n}{2}, \mathcal{Q}\} = v^n \{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}\}.$$

Since $\mathbf{I}_w^{tw}$ is the free $\mathbb{A}$ -module with a basis $\mathbf{B}$ in which the base elements are simple perverse sheaves, we can define the bilinear form $\{-, -\} : \mathbf{I}_w^{tw} \times \mathbf{I}_w^{tw} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} = N((v))$ by setting

$$(7.1) \quad \{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}\} = \sum_i (\dim H_{G_\alpha}^i(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}, \mathbb{E}_\alpha)) v^i.$$

for two simple perverse sheaves $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$.

We extend the algebra $\mathbf{I}_w^{tw}$ by adding the free $\mathbb{A}$ -module $\mathbf{K} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}Q_0} \mathbb{A}\mathbf{k}_\alpha$ and set $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_w^{tw}$ to be the free $\mathbb{A}$ -module with the basis $\{\mathbf{k}_\alpha[\mathcal{M}] \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}Q_0, [\mathcal{M}] \in \mathbf{B}\}$. The multiplication $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}$, comultiplication $\tilde{\Delta}$ and antipode $\tilde{S}$ over $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_w^{tw}$ are defined as :

- (1) $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N}) = \mathbf{m}^t(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})$;
- (2) $\mathbf{k}_\alpha[\mathcal{M}] = v^{(\alpha, \beta)}[\mathcal{M}]\mathbf{k}_\alpha$;
- (3) $k_\alpha k_\beta = k_{\alpha+\beta}$;
- (4) $\tilde{\Delta}([\mathcal{M}]) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^t([\mathcal{M}]) \cdot (\mathbf{k}_\beta \otimes 1)$;
- (5) $\tilde{\Delta}(\mathbf{k}_\alpha) = \mathbf{k}_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{k}_\alpha$;
- (6) $\tilde{S}([\mathcal{M}]) = \bigoplus_{r \geq 1} \bigoplus_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \neq 0} \mathbf{k}_{-\alpha_1 - \dots - \alpha_r} \mathbf{m}_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r}^{t, r} \circ \Delta_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r}^{t, r}(\mathcal{M})[r]$ for $[\mathcal{M}] \neq 0$;
- (7) $\tilde{S}(\mathbf{k}_\alpha) = \mathbf{k}_{-\alpha}$.

We can endow $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_w^{tw}$ with the different multiplication $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}^*$, comultiplication $\tilde{\Delta}^*$ and antipode $\tilde{S}^*$ by the following relations:

- (1) $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}^*(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N}) = \mathbf{m}^t(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})$;
- (2) $\mathbf{k}_\alpha[\mathcal{M}] = v^{-(\alpha, \beta)}[\mathcal{M}]\mathbf{k}_\alpha$;
- (3) $k_\alpha k_\beta = k_{\alpha+\beta}$;
- (4) $\tilde{\Delta}^*([\mathcal{M}]) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} (\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{op})^t([\mathcal{M}]) \cdot (1 \otimes \mathbf{k}_{-\beta})$;
- (5) $\tilde{\Delta}^*(\mathbf{k}_\alpha) = \mathbf{k}_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{k}_\alpha$;
- (6) $\tilde{S}^*([\mathcal{M}]) = \bigoplus_{r \geq 1} \bigoplus_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \neq 0} \mathbf{m}_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r}^r \circ (\Delta_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r}^{op})^{tw, r}(\mathcal{M})[r] \mathbf{k}_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r}$ for $[\mathcal{M}] \neq 0$;
- (7) $\tilde{S}^*(\mathbf{k}_\alpha) = \mathbf{k}_{-\alpha}$.

We denote $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_w^{tw}$ with the above new structures by $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_w^{tw, *}$ and then the basis is denoted by $\{\mathbf{k}_\alpha[\mathcal{M}]^* \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}Q_0, [\mathcal{M}] \in \mathbf{B}\}$ in case causing ambiguity. The opposite of $\tilde{\Delta}^*$ satisfies that

$$(\tilde{\Delta}^*)^{op}([\mathcal{M}]) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} (\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^t)([\mathcal{M}]) \cdot (\mathbf{k}_{-\beta} \otimes 1).$$

The inverse of $\tilde{S}^*$ satisfies that

$$(\tilde{S}^*)^{-1}([\mathcal{M}]) = \bigoplus_{r \geq 1} \bigoplus_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \neq 0} \mathbf{k}_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r} \mathbf{m}_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r}^{t, r} \circ \Delta_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r}^{t, r}(\mathcal{M})[r] \text{ for } [\mathcal{M}] \neq 0.$$

Definition 7.4. Given two Hopf algebras A and B , a skew-Hopf pairing of A and B is a $\mathbf{R}$ -bilinear function $\varphi : A \times B \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that

- (1) $\varphi(1, b) = \varepsilon_B(b)$ and $\varphi(a, 1) = \varepsilon_A(a)$;
- (2) $\varphi(a, bb') = \varphi(\Delta_A(a), b \otimes b')$;
- (3) $\varphi(aa', b) = \varphi(a \otimes a', \Delta_B^{op}(b))$;
- (4) $\varphi(\sigma_A(a), b) = \varphi(a, \sigma_B^{-1}(b))$.

Proposition 7.5. [13] Let (A, B, φ) be a skew-Hopf pairing. Then $A \otimes B$ is a Hopf algebra, called the Drinfeld double of (A, B, φ) .

The definition in (7.1) can be extended to define a bilinear form $\varphi : \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_w^{tw} \times \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_w^{tw, *} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by setting

$$\varphi(\mathbf{k}_\alpha[\mathcal{M}], \mathbf{k}_\beta[\mathcal{N}]^*) = v^{-(\alpha, \beta) - (\alpha', \beta) + (\alpha, \beta')} \{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}\}$$

for $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\alpha'}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\alpha'})$ and $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\beta'}, w}^b(\mathbb{E}_{\beta'})$.

Theorem 7.6. *The triple $(\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_w^{tw}, \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_w^{tw,*}, \varphi)$ is a skew-Hopf pairing.*

The proof is a direct consequence of Lemma 7.1 and Corollary 7.2 and very similar to [35, Proposition 5.3]. We omit it.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne. *Faisceaux pervers*, Astérisque **100**, 1982.
- [2] J. Bernstein, V. Lunts, *Equivariant sheaves and functors*, Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [3] T. Bozec, *Quivers with loops and perverse sheaves*, Mathematische Annalen **362**(2015), no. 3, 773–797.
- [4] T. Braden, *Hyperbolic localization of intersection cohomology*, Transformation Groups **8**(2003), no. 3, 209–216.
- [5] B. Crawley-Boevey, *Lectures on representations of quivers, notes for a graduate course*, available at <http://www1.maths.leeds.ac.uk/~pmtwc/>.
- [6] B. Deng, J. Du, J. Xiao, *Generic extensions and canonical bases for cyclic quivers*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics **59**(2007), no. 6, 1260–1283.
- [7] B. Deng, S. Ruan, *Hall polynomials for tame type*, Journal of Algebra **475**(2017), 171–206.
- [8] J. A. Green, *Hall algebras, hereditary algebras and quantum groups*, Inventiones Mathematicae **120**(1995), no. 1, 361–377.
- [9] P. Hall, *The algebra of partitions*, in Proceedings of the 4th Canadian Mathematical Congress (1959), 147–159, University of Toronto Press, 1959.
- [10] D. Hernandez, B. Leclerc, *Quantum Grothendieck rings and derived Hall algebras*, Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal) **701**(2015), 77–126.
- [11] J. Hua, *Representations of quivers over finite fields*, PHD thesis.
- [12] J. Hua, *Counting representations of quivers over finite fields*, Journal of Algebra **226**(2000), no. 2, 1011–1033.
- [13] A. Joseph, *Quantum groups and their primitive ideals*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. 3. Folge. Band **29**, Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [14] M. Khovanov, A. Lauda, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups I*, Representation Theory **13**(2009), no. 14, 309–347.
- [15] R. Kiehl, R. Weissauer, *Weil conjectures, perverse sheaves and l’adic Fourier transform*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. 3. Folge. **42**. Springer-Verlag, 2001.
- [16] Y. Kimura, F. Qin, *Graded quiver varieties, quantum cluster algebras, and dual canonical basis*, Advances in Mathematics **262**(2014), 261–312.
- [17] Z. Lin, *Lusztig’s geometric approach to Hall algebras*, in Representations of finite dimensional algebras and related topics in Lie theory and geometry (2004), 349–364, Fields Inst. Commun., 40, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [18] G. Lusztig, *Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society **3**(1990), no. 2, 447–498.
- [19] G. Lusztig, *Quivers, perverse sheaves, and quantized enveloping algebras*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society **4**(1991), no. 2, 365–421.
- [20] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*, Birkhauser, 1992.
- [21] G. Lusztig, *Canonical bases and Hall algebras*, in Representation theories and algebraic geometry (1998), 365–399, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1998.
- [22] H. Nakajima, *Quiver varieties and Kac-Moody algebras*, Duke Mathematical Journal **91**(1998), no. 3, 515–560.
- [23] H. Nakajima, *Quiver varieties and cluster algebras*, Kyoto Journal of Mathematics **51**(2011), no. 1, 71–126.
- [24] L. Peng, *Lie algebras determined by finite Auslander-Reiten quivers*, Communications in Algebra **26**(1998), no. 9, 2711–2725.
- [25] C. Riedtmann, *Lie algebras generated by indecomposables*, Journal of Algebra **170**(1994), no. 2, 526–546.
- [26] C. M. Ringel, *Hall algebras and quantum groups*, Inventiones Mathematicae **101**(1990), no. 1, 583–591.
- [27] C. M. Ringel, *Green’s theorem on Hall algebras*, in Representation theory of algebras and related topics (1994), 185–245, CMS Conf. Proc., 19, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

- [28] R. Rouquier, *2-Kac-Moody algebras*, arXiv:0812.5023, 2008.
- [29] R. Rouquier, *Quiver Hecke algebras and 2-Lie algebras*, Algebra Colloquium **19**(2012), no. 2, 359–410.
- [30] O. Schiffmann, *Lectures on Hall algebras*, in Geometric methods in representation theory II, 1–141, Semin. Congr., 24-II, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2012.
- [31] O. Schiffmann, *Lectures on canonical and crystal bases of Hall algebras*, in Geometric methods in representation theory II, 143–259, Semin. Congr., 24-II, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2012.
- [32] E. Steinitz, *Zur Theorie der Abel'schen Gruppen*, Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung **9**(1901), no. 1, 80–85.
- [33] M. Varagnolo, E. Vasserot, *Canonical bases and KLR-algebras*, Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal) **659**(2011), 67–100.
- [34] B. Webster, *Geometry and categorification*, arXiv:1602.05992, 2016.
- [35] J. Xiao, *Drinfeld double and Ringel-Green theory of Hall algebras*, Journal of Algebra **190**(1997), no. 1, 100–144.
- [36] J. Xiao, M. Zhao, *Geometric realizations of Lusztig's symmetries*, Journal of Algebra **475**(2017), 392–422.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100084, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: `jxiao@math.tsinghua.edu.cn`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100084, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: `fanxu@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn`

COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, BEIJING FORESTRY UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100083, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: `zhaomh@bjfu.edu.cn`